# HOW TO CALCULATE CHILD SUPPORT UNDER CIVIL RULE 90.3

## This booklet contains instructions for the following forms:

<b>DR-105</b>	Petition for Dissolution of Marriage
	(the child support sections)
<b>DR-305</b>	Child Support Guidelines Affidavit
<b>DR-306</b>	Shared Custody Child Support Calculation
<b>DR-307</b>	<b>Divided Custody Child Support Calculation</b>
<b>DR-308</b>	<b>Hybrid Custody Child Support Calculation</b>

**July 2007** 

Alaska Court System Office of Special Projects 820 West Fourth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501

This booklet and the forms discussed in it are available on the court system's website: www.state.ak.us/courts/forms.htm

© Copyright 1988, 1990, 1995, 1999, 2005 and 2007 Alaska Court System All rights reserved. Permission to reproduce the contents of this booklet, but not for profit, is hereby granted to governmental and non-profit educational institutions. However, reproduction of any part of this booklet for commercial purposes without the express written permission of the Alaska Court System is strictly prohibited.

# Table of Contents

			<u>Page</u>
Introd	uction		1
A.	Instru	ctions for DR-305 Affidavit and child support sections of DR-105 Petition	1
	1.	Gross Income, Deductions and Net Income	2
	2.	Adjusted Annual Income	4
	3.	Annual Child Support Calculation	4
	4.	Monthly Child Support Payment	5
	5.	Health Care Coverage	7
	6.	Monthly Child Support Payment (after adding or deducting health insurance costs)	8
	7.	Request For Different Child Support Amount	8
	8.	Seasonal Income	9
	9.	Signature Section of DR-305 Affidavit	9
	10.	Extended Visitation Credit.	10
	Samp	le Filled-Out Form DR-305	11
B.	Instru	ctions for Form DR-306, Shared Custody Child Support Calculation	14
	Samp	le Filled-Out Form DR-306	19
C.	Instru	ctions for Form DR-307, Divided Custody Child Support Calculation	20
	Samp	le Filled-Out Form DR-307	21
D.	Instru	ctions for Form DR-308, Hybrid Custody Child Support Calculation	22
	Samp	le Filled-Out Form DR-308	23
Civil I	Rule 90.	.326	
Comn	nentary	to Civil Rule 90.3	32
Chart		ecking Calculations In ry Custody CasesBack Cover (Inside and	d Outside)

### HOW TO CALCULATE CHILD SUPPORT

### Introduction

In divorce and dissolution cases involving children, child support must be paid, even if the parents might agree otherwise. Support is paid on behalf of the children, not for the benefit of the custodial parent.

In Civil Rule 90.3, the Alaska Supreme Court has set the guidelines which courts must follow to determine the amount of child support. See pages 26-31 for a copy of this rule and pages 32-46 for a commentary explaining the rule.

To comply with this rule, each parent must provide information, under oath, about his or her income and must attach documentation verifying that information. Civil Rule 90.3(e). In dissolution cases, that information must be provided in the *Petition* form, DR-105. In divorce cases, it should be provided on form DR-305, *Child Support Guidelines Affidavit*.

In both dissolutions and divorces, an additional form must be attached if one of the following types of child custody is chosen:

- Shared Physical Custody. Attach DR-306, *Shared Custody Child Support Calculation*. See pages 14-19.
- Divided Physical Custody. Attach DR-307, *Divided Custody Child Support Calculation*. See pages 20-21.
- Hybrid Physical Custody. Attach DR-308, *Hybrid Custody Child Support Calculation*. See pages 22-25.

See pages 5-6 for an explanation of the four different types of physical custody.

# A. Instructions for DR-305 Affidavit and child support section of DR-105 Petition

See the sample, filled-out DR-305 form on pages 11-13.

1. Gross Income, Deductions and Net Income.

The following instructions apply to:

- page 3 of the DR-105 *Petition* and
- page 1 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*.

First, make copies of your most recent federal tax return and paycheck stubs so you can attach them to your *Petition* or *Affidavit*. If you have other documents which verify your income or deductions, also attach copies of them. Delete your social security number and any financial account numbers from these documents. If you need to identify financial accounts by number, use only the last three digits of the account number and the name of the financial institution.

Second, check the box indicating whether the information you fill in will be monthly or yearly. If your work is seasonal, show yearly income.

Third, fill in paragraphs A, B and C as follows.

## <u>Paragraph A.</u> Gross Income.

In order to set child support, the court must attempt to predict the parties' income for the foreseeable future. The best indicator of that is usually the parties' current income and most recent past income. However, if you believe your future income or the other parent's future income will be significantly different from current income, you should state expected future income and attach an explanation justifying it to the court.

Be sure to include all sources of income, whether they are listed on the form or not. Please read section III of the commentary to the rule on pages 33-35 for a list of many of the sources of income you should consider. <u>Do not</u> include ATAP (Alaska Temporary Assistance Program), SSI (Supplemental Security Income) or Food Stamps.

"Gross wages" means wages before any money is deducted for taxes or retirement or anything else.

"Value of employer-provided housing/food/etc." means the dollar value of benefits like free housing, meals, use of a car, etc. which your employer gives you. It should be listed if the amount is significant and it reduces your living expenses. It <u>does not</u> include employer-provided health insurance benefits. For military persons, this would mean such allowances, in addition to base pay, as the quarters allowance, the subsistence allowance, etc.

Note that if a person is <u>voluntarily</u> unemployed or underemployed, the court may determine the person's potential income and use that to calculate child support. See paragraph (a)(4) of the rule (page 26) and section III.C of the commentary to the rule (page 34).

## Paragraph B. Deductions.

Civil Rule 90.3(a)(1) allows only a limited number of deductions. See the discussion of this portion of the rule in section III.D of the commentary, pages 34-35.

Keep in mind that it is your expected <u>future</u> expenses that the court must predict and that these expenses may be different from your current expenses. For example, your federal income taxes may be different because, rather than filing a joint return, you may be filing a separate return as a "single person" or as a "head of household." Also, child care expenses may increase if the custodial parent has to work full-time.

<u>Mandatory Deductions</u>. Most of the deductions are for <u>mandatory</u> items which reduce your income and which you have no choice about paying, like taxes, union dues, mandatory retirement contributions, and the Supplemental Benefits System contributions that Alaska state employees are required to make (in place of social security taxes).

<u>Voluntary Retirement Contributions</u>. There is also, however, a deduction allowed for one optional, non-mandatory item: "voluntary contributions to a retirement or pension plan or account in which the earnings are tax-free or tax-deferred, except that the total amount of these voluntary contributions plus any mandatory contributions [to a retirement or pension plan] may not exceed 7.5% of the parent's gross wages and self-employment income." See section III.D of the commentary on page 34 for examples of some plans that qualify for voluntary contributions. Note that the maximum total deduction for voluntary and mandatory contributions combined is 7.5% of gross wages (or self-employment income). Do not include other sources of income (such as investment income) in this calculation.

Examples:

Father has a mandatory retirement plan at work in which he is required to contribute 1.5% of his gross wages. His gross wages are \$40,000 per year, so his mandatory retirement contribution is \$600 per year (.015 x 40,000). He can list \$600 on the "Mandatory retirement contribution" line. Father has also set up an IRA (Individual Retirement Account) to which he contributes \$3,000 every year. So, father can also take a "voluntary retirement deduction" of \$2,400 per year. Note: This is because the \$3,000 he contributes exceeds the maximum amount of the deduction he is allowed. (His maximum voluntary deduction is .075 x 40,000 = 30,000 minus the \$600 mandatory contribution.)

Mother's employer has no mandatory retirement plan for employees, but does offer an optional "401(k) Plan" (a qualified plan under the IRS code). Mother contributes \$4,000 every year to her "401(k)" account. Mother's gross wages are \$60,000 per year. Therefore, mother can take a "voluntary retirement deduction" of \$4,000 per year (because the \$4,000 she contributes is less than the maximum amount of the deduction she is allowed (.075 x \$60,000 = \$4,500)).

Child Support For Prior Children Living With Parent. One of the allowed deductions is for "child support for children from prior relationships living with this parent, calculated under Civil Rule 90.3." This means that if you have living with you a child of yours from a relationship prior to the one being dissolved, you can deduct the cost of supporting that child. This cost must be calculated using the formulas in Rule 90.3. To do this, you should fill out a separate DR-305 *Affidavit* with all your income information and all deductions except this one. Then, on pages 2 & 3 of that DR-305 form, calculate how much support you would have to pay for this child if the child lived with his/her other parent instead of you. This is the amount you can deduct on the "prior child" line.

Example:

Mother and father are getting a divorce. They have agreed that mother will have primary physical custody of the children of their marriage. However, father will continue to have primary custody of his two children from a prior marriage. These two "prior" children will continue to live with him after the divorce. Therefore, father can deduct the cost of supporting these two "prior" children when he calculates his "adjusted gross income" to determine how much child support he must pay for the children of his second marriage. To calculate the "prior children" deduction, father should fill out a separate DR-305 form as follows:

Father's gross annual income
Father's annual deductions (not counting deduction for prior children)
Net income
Rule 90.3 percentage for 2 children
Annual child support for 2 children

10,000

x .27

10,800

Thus, the amount of the deduction for the "prior" children will be \$10,800 annually (plus or minus health insurance costs calculated on page 3 of DR-305). Father can then use this figure when filling out the forms for the divorce.

<u>Paragraph C</u>. Net Income.

Fill in the totals from the above two sections, then subtract Total Deductions from Total Income to get Net Income.

## 2. <u>Adjusted Annual Income</u>.

The following instructions apply to:

- page 4 of the DR-105 *Petition* and
- page 2 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*.

Paragraph D. Adjusted Annual Income.

Fill in either subparagraph #1 or #2.

Fill in #1 if you checked the "monthly" box at the top of the previous page and provided monthly income and deduction information. The amount to fill in is the final amount in paragraph C (NET INCOME) multiplied by 12.

Fill in #2 if you checked the "yearly" box at the top of the previous page and provided yearly income and deduction information. The amount to fill in is the same as the final amount in paragraph C, the NET INCOME amount.

## 3. <u>Annual Child Support Calculation</u>.

The following instructions apply to

- page 9 of the DR-105 *Petition*, paragraphs VI A.1 and A.2
- page 2 of the DR-305 Affidavit, paragraph E

Find the Adjusted Annual Income for each party which you calculated in the previous step. If you are using the DR-105 *Petition*, fill in that amount in section A.1. Then, to fill in section A.2 (or paragraph E on the DR-305 *Affidavit*), do the following.

If the Adjusted Annual Income is \$100,000 or less, multiply the Adjusted Annual Income by whichever one of the following numbers applies to your situation:

- .20 if there is only one child involved in the divorce or dissolution
  - if there are two children
- .33 if there are three children, plus
- .03 for each additional child

Example:

If the Adjusted Annual Income for the mother is \$20,000 and there are two children, multiply \$20,000 by .27 to get the amount to fill in on the mother's Annual Child Support line (\$5,400).

If there were four children in the above example, the number to multiply by would be:

$$.33$$
 plus  $.03 = .36$ 

The calculation would be:

$$$20,000 \text{ x } .36 = $7,200$$

## Adjusted Annual Income Over \$100,000

If the Adjusted Annual Income for either party is more than \$100,000, then use \$100,000 in the above calculation instead of the Adjusted Annual Income.

Example: If the mother's Adjusted Annual Income is \$120,000 and there are two

children, multiply \$100,000 (not \$120,000) by .27 to get the amount to fill

in for the mother (\$27,000).

Note that the court may order a higher child support amount than that calculated assuming a \$100,000 Adjusted Annual Income. Civil Rule 90.3(c)(2) states that the court "may make an additional award only if it is just and proper, taking into account the needs of the children, the standard of living of the children and the extent to which that standard should reflect the supporting parent's ability to pay."

If you believe the amount of child support should be increased above the amount calculated by using the \$100,000 limit, you should use paragraph 6 on page 12 of the DR-105 *Petition* to explain why this should be done and what the child support amount should be. Be sure to cross out the second sentence in the paragraph before writing in your explanation.

## Minimum Support Amount

If the result of the above multiplication is <u>less</u> than \$600, write \$600 on the "ANNUAL CHILD SUPPORT" line instead of the result of the multiplication. Civil Rule 90.3(c)(3) states that the minimum child support amount that may be ordered is \$50 per month (\$600 per year) with a few exceptions. (The exceptions are: during "extended visitation" months and in the final calculations in shared, divided and hybrid custody cases.) See section VI.C of the commentary on page 40.

### 4. Monthly Child Support Payment.

The following instructions apply to

- page 10 of the DR-105 *Petition*, paragraph VI.A.3 and
- page 2 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*, paragraph F.

You must check one of the four boxes and fill in the lines. Which box you check will depend on your agreement about who will have physical custody of the children.

Deciding "physical custody" means deciding which parent the children will live with. 1

For purposes of child support, there are four possible physical custody arrangements:

a. "primary physical custody" which means the children live with one parent more than 70% of the year. Check box one if this is your arrangement.

Legal Custody. A parent who is awarded legal custody has the right and responsibility to decide routine questions regarding the child's best interests.

Physical Custody. A parent has physical custody of a child when the child resides with that parent.

Note that, as explained in your dissolution instructions, physical custody and legal custody are separate concepts.

- b. "shared physical custody" which means the children live with each parent for at least 30% of each year. Check box two if this is your arrangement.
- c. "divided physical custody" which means each parent has primary custody (as defined above) of at least one child, and the parents do not share custody of any of their children. Check box three if this is your arrangement.
- d. "hybrid physical custody" which means at least one parent has primary custody of at least one of the children, and the parents share custody of at least one of their children. Check box four if this is your arrangement.

Remember that it is <u>physical custody</u> (*i.e.*, where the children live) that matters for child support, <u>not legal custody</u>.

## Box One: Primary Physical Custody.

The parent who will pay is the one who will have physical custody of the children less than 30% of the year. The monthly amount owed is the Annual Child Support amount for that parent (from the previous section) divided by 12.

Example: Wife has primary physical custody of the three children of the marriage.

Husband's adjusted annual income is \$25,000.

Annual child support the husband will pay = \$25,000 x .33 = \$8,250

Monthly child support =  $\$8,250 \div 12 = \$687.50$ 

On the inside and the outside of the back cover is a chart you can use to check your calculations. It shows the monthly amount owed at adjusted annual income levels from \$5,000 to \$100,000 if there are from one to five children.

#### Box Two: Shared Physical Custody.

If you check this box, you will need to fill out form DR-306, *Shared Custody Child Support Calculation*, before you can fill in the child support amount. The instructions for this form begin on page 14.

#### Box Three: Divided Physical Custody.

If you check this box, you will need to fill out form DR-307, *Divided Custody Child Support Calculation*, before you can fill in the child support amount. The instructions for this form begin on page 20.

## Box Four: Hybrid Physical Custody.

If you check this box, you will need to fill out form DR-308, *Hybrid Custody Child Support Calculation*, before you can fill in the child support amount. The instructions for this form begin on page 22.

## 5. Health Care Coverage.

The following instructions apply to:

- pages 10 11 of the DR-105 Petition, paragraph VI.A.4 and
- pages 2-3 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*, paragraph G.

The court is required by statute and by court rule to include a medical support order in the child support order if health care coverage is available at a reasonable cost. However, before ordering that health insurance be purchased, the court must consider whether the children are eligible for free health care services from the Indian Health Service or some other entity (such as the military). Although one party may be ordered to purchase the insurance, the cost of it must be shared between the parties. This is done by adjusting the amount of child support upward or downward. AS 47.23.060(c) and Civil Rule 90.3(d)(1).

## **Health Insurance**

If the children are <u>not</u> eligible for services from the Indian Health Service or some similar health coverage, and if health insurance for the children is available to either parent at a reasonable cost (for example through your employer or union), the parent who has the insurance available must purchase it. If both parents have such insurance available, you must agree (or the court must decide) which one of you will purchase it. The cost must be divided equally between you unless you can show the court good cause why it should be divided differently. In paragraph 4.a on page 9 of the *Petition* (section G(1) of the *Affidavit*), you must indicate who has such insurance available, who will purchase it and how the cost will be divided between you.

List only the cost to insure the children involved in this case. Do not include the cost of insuring a parent or any other children who may live in the household. If there is no extra cost to the parent to include the children in the parent's insurance coverage, none of the cost can be allocated to the children. If there is an extra cost to add dependants and the policy covers dependants in addition to the children and you do not know the cost for the children alone, calculate the amount to put on this line as follows: Divide the monthly cost of the insurance (the extra cost for the dependants) by the number of dependants insured. Then multiply that number by the number of children involved in this case. See Civil Rule 90.3(d)(1).

#### Example:

There are two children who will be the subjects of this child support order. Father is paying support. The children are covered by the insurance policy provided by father's employer.

- 1. Father pays \$70 per month and employer pays \$900 per month for the policy that covers father and all father's dependents. The cost does <u>not</u> increase if more children are added to the coverage, and it does not decrease if there are no dependents. Father is required by the employer to have this insurance covering dependents. Father does not have the option to select insurance covering himself alone. Father cannot deduct any part of his \$70 per month insurance cost from his child support payment.
- 2. Father's employer allows him to choose to buy either a policy covering himself alone or one covering both himself and all dependents. The insurance costs the father \$100 per month for father alone and \$160 per month if any dependents are covered. The cost for covering dependents does not change if dependents are added or subtracted. Father selects the "dependents coverage" policy and lists both children and his new wife as dependents. The extra cost for dependents is \$60. The amount attributable to the children is \$40 (2/3 x \$60). Father can deduct half of that (\$20) from his monthly child support payment.

## <u>Uninsured Health Care Expenses</u>

In paragraph 4.b on page 11 of the *Petition* (section G(2) of the *Affidavit*), you must describe your agreement about how you will share the cost of health care expenses which are <u>not</u> covered by insurance. Civil Rule 90.3(d)(2) requires the court to divide such expenses between the parties equally unless good cause is shown for dividing them differently or unless the expenses are more than \$5,000 in a calendar year. The rule requires that reasonable expenses exceeding \$5,000 per calendar year must be allocated based on the parties' relative financial circumstances when the expenses occur. If, in the future, the children's uninsured expenses exceed \$5,000 and you cannot agree on how to divide them, you will have to file a motion with the court. If you believe that uncovered expenses <u>under</u> \$5,000 should be divided unequally, check the second box and explain your reasons (for example, a substantial difference in the parties' relative financial circumstances). See section VII of the commentary on pages 41-42 about reimbursement procedure.

## 6. <u>Monthly Child Support Payment (after adding or deducting health insurance costs).</u>

The following instructions apply to:

- page 11 of the DR-105 *Petition*, paragraph VI.A.5, and
- page 3 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*, paragraph H

In paragraph 5 on page 11 of the *Petition* (section H of the *Affidavit*), you must either increase or decrease the amount of child support owed, depending on who is purchasing the insurance and how the cost will be divided between you. For example, if the obligor will buy insurance for the children costing \$100 per month and you have agreed that the obligor will pay half the cost, you should write \$50 on line 5b and subtract it from the amount on line 5a in order to get the net amount due on line 5d. If you had instead agreed that the obligor would pay 70% of the cost, then the amount to subtract on line 5b would be \$30 and you should also revise the beginning of that paragraph as follows:

"b. Less  $\frac{1}{2}$  (or 30 %) of..."

Civil Rule 90.3(d)(1) requires that child support be adjusted only for those insurance payments which are actually made. Therefore, the child support order will state that if these payments are not made, the monthly child support amount due will return to what it was before the adjustment.

#### 7. Request For Different Child Support Amount.

## Form DR-105, *Petition*, page 12, section VI.A.6.

You may fill in this section of the *Petition* if you believe the child support amount should be different from that shown in paragraph VI.A.5 on page 11 of the *Petition*.

As stated in the Civil Rule 90.3(c)(1), the court may increase or decrease the amount of child support if the court finds that unusual circumstances exist which require the change "in order to award an amount of support which is just and proper for the parties to contribute toward the nurture and education of their children." The following are the examples of "unusual circumstances" listed in the commentary to the rule:

- especially large family size
- significant income of a child
- health or other extraordinary expenses
- unusually low expenses

See section VI.B of the commentary on page 38. In deciding whether there are "unusual circumstances" which justify increasing or decreasing the amount of child support, the court must consider the custodial parent's income as well as the non-custodial parent's income.

Before the court can change the child support amount based on unusual circumstances, the court must be shown "proof by clear and convincing evidence that manifest injustice would result if the support award" is not changed.

Please read the discussion of the "Unusual Circumstances" exception in section VI.B of the commentary to the rule, on pages 38-40 of this booklet.

#### 8. Seasonal Income

The following instructions apply to:

- page 12 of the DR-105 *Petition*, paragraph VI.A.7, and
- page 3 of the DR-305 *Affidavit*, paragraph I

If the income of the parent who will pay child support is seasonal, it may be easier for that parent to pay higher child support amounts during the high-income months and lower amounts during the low-income months. Fill out this section if <u>both</u> parents agree that you want to do this. Note that this does not change the total annual amount due. It just allows different amounts to be paid in different months. See Civil Rule 90.3(c)(5) and commentary section VI.F.

The court must not order seasonal differences in payments unless it finds that the burden of budgeting for periods of unequal income should be placed on the obligee (the person receiving the payments) rather than the obligor (the person making the payments). Also, the court must not order it unless the obligee agrees to it.

If unequal monthly payments are ordered, they must begin in a "high payment" month, not in a "low payment" month.

#### Example:

The annual child support amount owed by the obligor is \$7200. The obligor's main income comes from construction work, with most income earned during the months of April through September. Ordinarily, obligor would be ordered to pay \$600 per month ( $$7200 \div 12$ ). However, the parties agree that, because of the seasonal nature of his income, obligor should pay \$1000 per month from April through September and \$200 per month from October through March (total annual amount = \$7200).

Note: If the order is entered during a low-payment month (October-March), the court must order the <u>average</u> monthly payment (\$600) through March, then \$1000 payments from April through September, and then \$200 payments the following October – March.

#### 9. Signature Section of DR-305 Affidavit.

After filling in section I of the *Affidavit*, you must sign it under oath before a notary public, court clerk or other person authorized to administer oaths. Remember that, in signing the affidavit under oath, you are swearing that all the information you have provided is the truth. There is no charge when you get your affidavit notarized at the court. Bring a photo ID with you to prove your identity.

The information on the DR-105 *Petition* is also being given under oath, but the signature section of that form is several pages later.

## 10. <u>Extended Visitation Credit</u>. Civil Rule 90.3(a)(3).

If you checked box one, "primary custody," in section VI.A.3 on page 10 of your *Petition*, you may be able to make the following additional change in child support. In the primary physical custody situation, the parent paying child support <u>may</u> be allowed to <u>reduce</u> child support payments during any period when that parent takes physical custody of the children for over 27 consecutive days. Example: The obligor parent takes physical custody of the children for the month of July (31 days). Note: If the children spend brief periods of time with the custodial parent during this "extended visitation" period, including occasional overnights, it will not defeat the visitation credit. See section IV.B of the commentary on pages 35-36.

The court may (but does not have to) allow a reduction in child support for that period to take into account the added expenses for the obligor parent and the reduced expenses for the non-obligor parent.

Child support can only be reduced a maximum of 75% for that period. It cannot be eliminated because some expenses (e.g., rent) of the parent with primary custody will continue even when the children are not there. Note that paragraph (c)(1) of the rule (allowing the court to make exceptions to the rule) applies to the calculation of this credit just like it applies to other calculations in the rule. See the discussion of "unusual circumstances" on pages 38-40.

To qualify for this credit, the parties must specify in section V on page 9 of their *Petition* the dates of the extended visitation period, and the obligor parent must take physical custody as agreed. Also, the court's order must be specific about the amount of reduction allowed.

If you want the child support payment to be reduced for a particular period of time because of this "extended visitation credit" you must tell the court the amount of the reduction and the months when it should occur. You can use paragraph 6 on page 12 of the *Petition* to do this. However, be sure to cross out the second sentence in the paragraph (about unusual circumstances), unless you think it applies, and write in:

We want child support to be re-	duced to \$	<u> </u>			during	3	the
months of	because	the	obligor	will	have the	chil	dren
over 27 consecutive days durin	g			_ as	specified	in	our
visitation agreement on page 9.	Civil Ru	ıle 9	0.3(a)(3)	).	_		

#### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA AT Anchorage

In re	: Marriage of		
	Janet E Jones ) (Plaintiff)(Petitioner) )		
I swe	( <del>Defendant</del> )(Petitioner)	ecent federal tax return	Rule 90.3] o the best of m
A.	Gross Income (Do not list ATAP or SSI below.) Gross wages Value of employer-provided housing/food/etc. Unemployment compensation Permanent fund dividend Other: interest on savings stock dividends veteran's benefits TOTAL INCOME	FATHER  30,120  0 0 1,770 257 0 360 32,507	MOTHER  14,214  0 600 1,770 0 89 0 16,673
В.	Deductions Allowable under Civil Rule 90.3 Federal, state, and local income tax Social security tax or self-employment tax Medicare tax Employment security tax Mandatory retirement contributions Mandatory union dues Voluntary retirement contributions if plan earning are tax-free or tax-deferred, up to 7.5% of gross wages and self-employment income when combined with mandatory contributions Other mandatory deductions (specify) State SBS (replaces social security)	4,596 0 0 0 2,050 0 2,861	1,504 1,140 0 0 800 0
	Child support/alimony ordered in other cases and currently being paid Child support for children from prior	0	0
	relationships living with this parent, calculated under Civil Rule 90.3	0	0

C. Net Income

TOTAL INCOME from section A TOTAL DEDUCTIONS from section B Subtract deductions from income to get

Work-related child care for children of this

**NET INCOME** 

TOTAL DEDUCTIONS

Civil Rule 90.3

10,829

16,673

32,507

8,507

24,000

Page 1 of 3 DR-305 (4/05)(cs) CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES AFFIDAVIT

marriage

		<u> </u>	<u>FATHER</u>	<u>MOTHER</u>
D.	1. If t	ed Annual Income the above figures are based on monthly information, altiply Net Income from section C by 12 to get		
	2 164	ADJUSTED ANNUAL INCOME	N/A	N/A
		the above figures are based on <u>yearly</u> information, beat the Net Income amount from section C to show ADJUSTED ANNUAL INCOME	24,000	10,829
E.		ly Adjusted Annual Income from section D (up to \$	100,000)* by:	
	.27	of for one child of for two children of for three children, and	x <u>.33</u>	x <u>.33</u>
	.03	For each additional child UAL CHILD SUPPORT**(Minimum is \$600)	7,920	3,574
	Rule 9	ion D exceeds $$100,000$ , use $$100,000$ instead of the $0.3(c)(2)$ .  When the child support amount under Civil Figure 1.		on D. See Civil
F.		ly Child Support Payment <i>(See definitions of types</i> Primary Custody. One parent has primary physical Divide Annual Child Support amount from section $12 = \$ 660$ to be paid each month by	l custody. E for the non-cus	
	<u> </u>	Shared Custody. The children will reside with each in writing of at least 30% of the year. [Attach form Monthly child support payment (from line 10 of except to be paid by mother father.	n DR-306 to show	calculations.]
	<u></u> 3.	Divided Custody. Each parent will have primare children and the parents will not share custody of DR-307 to show your calculations.]  Monthly child support payment (from line 7 of DR to be paid by mother father.	any of the childre	
	4.	Hybrid Custody. [Attach form DR-308 to show yo Monthly child support payment (from line 8 of DR to be paid by mother father.		
G.	Health	Care Coverage.		
		ealth Insurance.		
	a.	Does father have health insurance available for through his employer, union or otherwise?  Yes No I do not know	the child(ren) at	reasonable cost
	b.	Does mother have health insurance available for through her employer, union or otherwise?  Yes No I do not know	the child(ren) at	reasonable cost
	c.	Are the children eligible for services through the In Yes No	ndian Health Servi	ce?
	d.	Do the children have other health insurance or care Describe:		es 🛭 No
Dogo	2 of 3	2 1301100.		Civil Pule 90.3

12

Page 2 of 3 DR-305 (4/05)(cs) CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES AFFIDAVIT

	Health insurance for the   father at a monthly co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g	purchased by:
	mother at a monthly through the above person	cost to mother of \$ 1's employer   1	union *	ath A
	whose name and address  Anchorage AK	are Acme Corpo	oration, 820 We	est 4 <sup></sup> Avenue,
	The cost is will b	e divided between th	e parties 🗵 equal	ly
	Explain reason for unequ	al division:	. — 1	
	*List only the cost to insu- the parent to include the can be allocated to the co	e children in the pare	ent's insurance co	verage, none of the cost
	2. Health Care Expenses No Should <u>uninsured</u> health be shared equally by the If not, explain how the co	care expenses of the coparents? $\times$ Yes	child(ren) (up to \$ ] No	5,000 per calendar year)
Н.	Monthly Child Support Payn	nent (after adding or d	leducting health in	surance costs).
	1. Monthly Child Support F			\$ 660
	2. If <u>obligor</u> is buying healt 50% (or%) of the "obligor" is the part	ne monthly insurance	payment.	- \$40
	3. If <u>obligee</u> is buying healt 50% (or%) of the "obligee" is the part	ne monthly insurance	payment.	+\$
	4. Net Monthly Child Supp	ort Payment		\$ 620
I.	Seasonal Income. Obligatotal annual amount remainincome months and lower particles Higher Monthly Amount \$	is the same, obligor yments during low inc	can make higher come months as fo	payments during high bllows:
	Lower Monthly Amount \$_	should be p	oaid in (list months	3)
			Joseph G. Jor	<u>ues</u>
			Signatu Joseph <i>G</i> . Jor	re N <b>es</b>
			Type or Prin	t Name
	ribed and sworn to or affirmed			, Alaska
on	Date	· 		
a copy	fy that on y of this affidavit was mailed to her party in this case (list name	pers My	ck of Court, Notary con authorized to a Commission Expi	dminister oaths.
				(SEAL)
Signa	ture	<u> </u>		
	of 3 5(4/05)(cs)	T		Civil Rule 90.3

DR-310 (7/07)

## B. Instructions for Form DR-306, Shared Custody Child Support Calculation

You need to fill out this form only if you decide on a "shared physical custody" arrangement (meaning each parent has the children at least 30% [almost 4 months] of the year).

A specific visitation schedule showing the 30% custody must be written in your petition (or divorce decree). Ordinarily, for a day of visitation to count towards the required 30%, the children must remain overnight with that parent. (30% would be 110 overnights.) However, the court is allowed to use other methods of calculating the percentages of custody when counting overnights does not give an accurate picture of the costs of custody for each parent. For example, a court might find that the 30% requirement is met if a parent has the children for 24 hours on the weekend (noon Saturday to noon Sunday) and 9.5 hours each weekday (7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.). Although there is only one overnight each week in this example, the total number of hours per week (71.5) is over 42% of the total number of hours in a week (168 hours). Please read about Shared Custody in section V of the commentary, page 36 of this booklet.

See the sample, filled-out DR-306 form on page 19.

#### Line 1.

Fill in the adjusted annual income amounts for father and mother from either:

- form DR-305, page 2, section D; or
- form DR-105, page 4, section II.D

Note: If the income amount is over \$100,000, use \$100,000 as the amount.

#### Line 2.

On the "x \_\_\_\_\_" line, fill in the decimal for the number of children. (Fill in ".20" if there is only one child, ".27" if there are two, etc.)

Then calculate the "annual child support" amount for each parent by multiplying the amount on line 1 by the decimal number you just filled in.

Note: If the result is less than \$600, write \$600 on the line instead of the amount you calculated.

Example:	Father's adjusted annual income is \$30,000. Mother's adjusted annual income is \$20,000. They will share custody of their one child.		
		<u>FATHER</u>	<b>MOTHER</b>
	Line 1	\$30,000	\$20,000
	Line 2	x <u>.20</u>	x <u>.20</u>
		<u>\$6,000</u>	\$4,000

## Line 3.

Fill in the percentage of time the father will have physical custody and the percentage of time the mother will have physical custody. In order to show how you arrived at these percentages, you will have to specify in section V (the visitation section) on page 9 of your *Petition for Dissolution* the dates when the children will reside with each parent.

Example: Father has physical custody the first week in April (7 days), June 1 through

August 31 (92 days) and December 26 through January 5 (11 days). Total

 $= 110 \text{ days or } 30\% \text{ of the year. } (110 \div 365 = .3013)$ 

Mother has physical custody the rest of the year (70%).

Fill in 70% on mother's line and 30% on father's line.

Note: These percentages can be changed by the court if the court finds that "the percentage of time each parent will have physical custody will not accurately reflect the ratio of funds each parent will directly spend on supporting the children." Civil Rule 90.3(b)(1)(B). If you think the percentages should be changed in your case, please bring this to the court's attention by attaching a sheet to your form DR-306 explaining why they should be changed.

#### Line 4.

On the father's line, write the percentage of time the mother will have custody.

On the mother's line, write the father's percentage.

		FATHER MOTHER
Example:	Line 3.	30% 70%
	Line 4.	70% 30%

### <u>Line 5</u>.

In both columns, multiply the amount on line 2 by the percent on line 4. (In general, this shows the amount of each parent's income which that parent owes to the other parent for the time the children live with the second parent.)

Example:	Assume the following:
example:	Assume the following:

Father's annual child support amount is \$6,000 and he has custody 30% of the time.

Mother's annual child support amount is \$4,000 and she has custody 70% of the time.

	FATHER	MOTHER
Line 2.	\$6,000	\$4,000
Line 3.	30%	70%
Line 4.	70%	30%
Line 5.	\$4,200 (6,000 x .70)	\$1,200 (4,000 x .30)

#### Line 6.

Subtract the smaller amount on line 5 from the larger amount. Write the difference on line 6 in the column with the larger line 5 amount. Leave the other column blank.

The person with the larger line 5 amount is the person who will pay child support. The amount to be paid will be calculated in the next step.

Example: Usi	ng the figures in the ab	ove example:	
	FATHER	MOTHER	
Line 5.	\$4,200	\$1,200	
Line 6.	\$3,000 (4,200 - 1,200)		

#### Line 7.

Multiply the amount on line 6 by 1.5. The line in one column will be blank.

As explained in section V.B of the commentary on page 36, the reason the rule requires you to multiply by 1.5 is because it is assumed that the total funds of both parties necessary to support the children will be substantially greater when physical custody is shared than when it is not.

Example: Using the figures in the above example:		
	FATHER	MOTHER
Line 6.	\$3,000	
Line 7.	\$4,500 (\$3,000 x 1.5)	

#### Line 8.

The person with an amount written on line 7 is the person who will pay child support (the "obligor"). However, the rule requires that the support amount not exceed the amount the obligor would have owed if it was a "primary custody" situation. Therefore, you must compare the line 7 amount with the amount on that person's line 2 (the "primary custody amount"). Write the smaller of line 7 or line 2 on line 8.

Example: Using the figures in the above series of examples:			
	FATHER	MOTHER	
Line 1.	\$30,000	\$20,000	
Line 2.	\$6,000	\$4,000	
Line 7.	\$4,500		<u> </u>
Line 8.	\$4,500		_

Note: For this comparison, you can reduce the actual amount on line 2 by whatever "extended visitation credit" would be appropriate if you had elected primary custody instead of shared custody. The "extended visitation credit" is explained in section 10 on

Example:

In the example above, the amount for the father on line 2 is \$6,000. However, the father will have physical custody of the children for the entire months of June, July and August. If these parties were calculating child support as if the mother had primary custody rather than using the shared custody calculations, the father would have been entitled to an extended visitation credit up to 75% of the amount owed for those 3 months.

The monthly amount owed would have been:  $\$6,000 \div 12 = \$500$ 

The maximum credit the court might allow per month is \$500 x .75 = \$375. So, the credit for the 3 months =  $3 \times 375 = 1125$ .

Therefore, for purposes of this comparison of line 2 and line 7, these parties could use a revised line 2 amount of \$6,000 - \$1125 = \$4875.

In this example, the credit will not make a difference because line 7 (\$4,500) is still smaller than the reduced line 2.

page 10.

# The extended visitation credit cannot be used for any other purpose if shared custody is elected.

#### <u>Line 9</u>.

Fill in the number of months in which payments will be made. This will be 12 unless the obligor parent (the one who must pay support) will have physical custody for periods of 30 consecutive days or more. If the obligor will have such periods of "extended custody," the obligor can choose to either make payments in all 12 months or make payments only in those months when he/she does <u>not</u> have "extended custody." The annual amount due does not change. So, if the obligor chooses <u>not</u> to make payments during the "extended custody" months, he/she will pay more during the other months. (The annual amount due will be divided by a smaller number of months, so each payment will be higher than it would have been if the annual amount were divided by 12.) If the obligor chooses to do this, fill in on line 9 the number of months when the obligor parent will <u>not</u> have extended custody (custody for periods of 30 <u>consecutive</u> days or more).

Example:

The father in the above example has physical custody for an extended period from June 1 through August 31 in addition to two other shorter periods. He will not pay child support during the three months (June, July and August) the children live with him. Therefore, the number of monthly payments on line 9 will be 12 - 3 = 9.

#### Line 10.

Fill in the months, if any, when payments will <u>not</u> be made because the obligor parent has custody for a 30 or more consecutive day period.

Then fill in the monthly amount to be paid. Calculate this by dividing the annual amount the obligor owes (on line 8) by the number of months in which payments will be made (on line 9).

Then check the box indicating who the obligor is: mother or father.

Example:

Continuing the example used above, the months in which payments will not be made are: June, July & August

The monthly amount to be paid is: the annual amount from line 8 (\$4,500) divided by the number on line 9 (9 months).

 $$4,500 \div 9 = $500$ 

The \$500 is to be paid by the father each month except June, July and August.

#### Failure to Take Custody At Least 30% of the Time.

If a parent does not take physical custody of the children at least 30% of the year, as agreed, the other parent can file a motion with the court to modify the support order. The commentary to the rule indicates that in this circumstance, the support amount should be recalculated using the formula applicable in primary physical custody situations. See section V.C. of the commentary on page 36. Usually, the motion will request a change in both the custody order and the support order. But, even if the court does not change the custody order, it should change the support order and explain the reason for it. However, both the rule and the commentary make it clear that child support should not be modified if the reason for the failure to take physical custody was because the other parent refused to permit it.

The DR-700 Motion Packet can be used to file this motion. The packet is available at the court and on the court system's website.

#### Signatures & Transfer of Information to Other Forms

After filling in line 10, sign the form and print your name beneath your signature. Then copy the information from paragraph 10 onto either:

- form DR-305, Affidavit, page 2, section F.2; or
- form DR-105, *Petition*, page 10, section VI.A.3.b.

Attach form DR-306 to your *Petition* or *Affidavit*.

## SHARED CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

Case	e Name:	Jane Doe o	ınd	John D	)0e			
Case	e Number:							
<i>for 1</i> phys	ch this form to form I Dissolution of Marria sical custody as defined reside with each paren	ge, to explain th I in Civil Rule 90	e cl ).3(f	nild sup <sub>l</sub> ). That	port o	calculation if the must be attached	e parents l only if t	will share he children
			_			<u>FATHER</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>MOTHER</u>
1.	Adjusted annual inco form DR-305 or secti form DR-105) up to \$1.05	on II.D on page 4	D o l of	n	\$_	30,000	\$	20,000
2.	Multiply line 1 by .20 for one child .27 for two children .33 for three children .03 for each additiona					x <u>.20%</u>		x <u>.20%</u>
	Annual Child Suppor (Minimum amount is	t \$600)			\$_	6,000	\$	4,000
3.	Percentage of time ear physical custody	ch parent will ha	ve		_	30%	_	70%
4.	Percentage of time ot physical custody	<u>her</u> parent will ha	ive		_	70%		30%
5.	Multiply line 2 times	line 4.			\$_	4,200	\$	1,200
6.	Subtract smaller figure write the difference in line 5 figure. (One line 5 figure)	n the column with	ı the	er and larger	\$_	3,000	\$	
7.	Multiply line 6 by 1.5 (One line should be b	5. lank.)			\$_	4,500	\$	
8.	Annual Child Suppor Fill in the smaller of parent who will pay s	line 7 or line 2 for	r the	2)	\$_	4,500	\$	
9.	Number of payments	per year:	9	)	_ (S	ee Civil Rule 90.	3(b)(4).)	
10.	Monthly Child Suppo (line 8 divided by line	ort Payment for all e 9): \$500	1 m	onths exc to be pai	cept _id by	June, July, a fath	nd Augu ner r/father)	<u>st</u>
Writ	te the paragraph 10 info form DR-305, pag form DR-105, pag	ormation on eithe ge 2, section F.2. ge 10, section VI.	r or A.3	.b.		(motile	i/raurer)	
	John Doe					Jane Do		
	Father's Si	gnature			Mother's Signature			
<u>John Doe</u>				Jane Doe				
	Type or Pri	nt Name				Type or Pr	rint Name	e
DR-30	06 (3/05)(cs)						Civi	1 Pule 90 3(b)

19

SHARED CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

# C. Instructions for Form DR-307, Divided Custody Child Support Calculation

You need to fill out this form only if you decide on a "divided physical custody" arrangement (meaning each parent has primary physical custody of at least one of the children and you do not share custody of any of the children).

You have "primary" physical custody of a child if the child lives with you more than 70% of the year.

The rule on calculating support in a divided custody situation is Civil Rule 90.3(b)(2). See page 27 of this booklet. Also see the commentary about the divided custody rule on page 37 (section V.D).

In a "divided" custody situation, support is calculated by doing two "primary custody" calculations to determine what each parent owes the other and then subtracting the smaller amount from the larger. (See the explanation of primary custody calculations in paragraph 3 on page 4.)

The sample, filled-out DR-307 form on page 21 assumes the following facts:

- There are four children in the family.
- Father will have primary custody of James (age 14).
- Mother will have primary custody of Matthew (age 10), Mark (age 8) and Luke (age 6).
- Father's adjusted annual income is \$125,000.

Note: Because this amount is over \$100,000, the amount to write on line 1 for father is \$100,000.

• Mother's adjusted annual income is \$1000.

Note: As calculated under the primary custody rule (Civil Rule 90.3(a)), the annual child support amount that Mother would owe father for the one child in father's primary custody would be  $$200 ($1000 \times .20)$ . However, the minimum annual child support amount under Rule 90.3(c)(3) is \$600. Therefore, \$600 must be written on line 4.

After calculating the child support amount on the DR-307 form, the last step is to decide whether this result is "just and proper." The rule on divided custody states that divided custody is an "unusual circumstance" and, therefore, the court <u>must</u> consider whether the support amount should be varied under paragraph (c)(1) of the rule. If you believe the amount is not "just and proper," you must explain your reasons for believing this to the court. You can do this in paragraph 6 on page 12 of the *Dissolution Petition*. See the discussion of the "unusual circumstances" exception in paragraph 7 on page 8.

## DIVIDED CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

	Name: Dissolution of Samuel T. Smith and Mary R. Smith	1	
	Number:en in primary custody of Father (list names and ages):James (age	ટ 14)	
Childre	en in primary custody of Mother (list names and ages): Matthew (age 2	10),	
<u>Mark</u>	(age 8), and Luke (age 6)		
Dissolu as defin custody	this form to form DR-305, <i>Child Support Guidelines Affidavit</i> , or form DI ation of Marriage, to explain the child support calculation if custody of the child ned in Civil Rule 90.3(f). That is, it must be attached only if each parent has of one or more children of the relationship and the parents do not share physichildren.	lren wil is prim	ll be divided ary physical
1.	Father's adjusted annual income (from section D on form DR-305 or section II.D on page 4 of form DR-105) up to \$100,000.	\$	100,000
2.	Multiply line 1 by the decimal for the number of children in primary custody of <u>mother</u> : .20 for one child .27 for two children .33 for three children .03 for each additional child		x <u>.33</u>
	Father's Annual Child Support (Minimum amount is \$600.)	\$	33,000
3.	Mother's adjusted annual income (from section D on form DR-305 or section II.D on page 4 of form DR-105) up to \$100,000.	\$	1,000
4.	Multiply line 3 by the decimal for the number of children in primary custody of <u>father</u> : .20 for one child .27 for two children .33 for three children .03 for each additional child		x <u>.20</u> \$200
	Mother's Annual Child Support (Minimum amount is \$600.)	\$	600
5.	Difference between line 2 and line 4	\$	32,400
6.	Support must be paid by:	e 4	02,100
7.	Monthly Payment Amount (line 5 divided by 12)	\$	2700
Write (	the paragraph 7 amount on either form DR-305, page 2, section F.3, or form DR-105, page 9, section VI.A.3.c.		
	Samuel T. Smith Mary R. S		
	Father's Signature Mother's Si		e
-	Samuel T. Smith Mary R. Sr Type or Print Name Type or Print	nith nt Nam	ne
	Type of Time Tunio	1 1411.	

DR-307 (4/05)(cs)

DIVIDED CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

# D. Instructions for Form DR-308, Hybrid Custody Child Support Calculation

You need to fill out this form only if you decide on a "hybrid physical custody" arrangement (meaning at least one parent has primary physical custody of at least one child of the relationship and the parents share physical custody of at least one child of the relationship).

A parent has "primary" physical custody of a child if the child lives with that parent more than 70% of the year.

Parents "share" physical custody of a child if the child lives with each parent "for a period specified in writing in the custody order" of at least 30% of the year. Civil Rule 90.3(f).

The rule on calculating support in a hybrid custody situation is Civil Rule 90.3(b)(3). See page 27 of the booklet.

The "hybrid" calculation includes both "primary" custody calculations, and "shared" custody calculations (discussed in the previous pages of this booklet), but does not use the standard percentages from paragraph (a)(2) of the rule.

Read section V.E. of the commentary (on pages 37-38) for a step-by-step explanation of the calculation and a sample calculation.

The sample, filled-out DR-308 form on pages 23-25 assumes the following facts:

- There are four children in the family.
- Father will have primary custody of no children.
- Mother will have primary custody of Ezekiel (age 4) and Jeremiah (age 6).
- The parents will share custody of Susanna (age 14) and Ruth (age 15). The shared two children will be in mother's physical custody 70% of the time and in father's 30% of the time.
- Father's adjusted annual income is \$50,000.
- Mother's adjusted annual income is \$40,000.

After calculating the child support amount on the DR-308 form, the last step is to decide whether this result is "just and proper." The rule on hybrid custody states that hybrid custody is an "unusual circumstance" and, therefore, the court <u>must</u> consider whether the support amount should be varied under paragraph (c)(1) of the rule. If you believe the amount is not "just and proper," you must explain your reasons for believing this to the court. You can do this in paragraph 6 on page 12 of the *Dissolution Petition*. See the discussion of the "unusual circumstances" exception in paragraph 7 on page 8.

## HYBRID CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

Ca	se N	Name: <u>Dissolution of Peter M. Parker and Abigail N. Pa</u>	rker			
		Number:				
Ch	ildr	en in primary custody of Father (list names and ages):				
Ch	ildr	en in primary custody of Mother (list names and ages): Ezekiel (age 4	) and			
		Jeremiah (age 6)				
Ch	ildr	en in shared custody of Both Parents (list names and ages): Susanna (a	ge 14) and			
_		Ruth (age 15)	DD 105 D (1)			
		this form to form DR-305, <i>Child Support Guidelines Affidavit</i> , or form assolution of Marriage, to explain the child support calculation if custod				
		rid" arrangement as defined in Civil Rule 90.3(f). That is, it must be	•			
	•	ne parent has primary physical custody of one or more children of the r	•			
pai	ents	s share physical custody of at least one child of the relationship.				
1.	To	tal Number of Children 4				
2.	De	Decimal for that number of children (from Civil Rule 90.3(a)(2)).  .20 for one child  .27 for two children  .33 for three children  add .03 for each additional child				
3.	Di	vide the decimal in No. 2 by the number in No. 1 =	.09			
•••	• • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
4.	Ca	lculate support owed to Mother for children in Mother's primary custod	y as follows:			
	a.	Number of children in Mother's primary custody 2				
	b.	Multiply (a) times the decimal in No. 3 .18				
	c.	Father's adjusted annual income (from section D on form DR-305 or section II.D on page 4 of form DR-105) up to \$100,000. \$ 50,000				
	d.	Multiply (b) times (c). If result is less than \$600, insert \$600 instead.	\$9,000			
5.	Ca	lculate support owed to Father for children in Father's primary custody	as follows:			
	a.	Number of children in Father's primary custody0				
	b.	Multiply (a) times the decimal in No. 3				
	c.	Mother's adjusted annual income (from section D on form DR-305 or section II.D on page 4 of form DR-105) up to \$100,000	\$			
	d.	Multiply (b) times (c). If result is less than \$600, insert \$600 instead.	\$			

Page 1 of 3 DR-308 (4/05) (cs) HYBRID CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION Civil Rule 90.3(b)(3) & (f)(4)

0.	Ca	iculate support for children in shared custody as folio	WS:					
	a.	Number of children in shared custody	2					
	b.	Multiply (a) times the decimal in No. 3	18					
	c.	Adjusted annual income (from section D on form DR-305 or section II.D on page 4 of	<u>FATHER</u>	<u>MOTHER</u>				
		form DR-105) up to \$100,000.	\$ 50,000	\$ 40,000				
	d.	Multiply line (c) by the decimal in (b)	x <u>.18</u>	x <u>.18</u>				
		Annual Child Support (Minimum amount is \$600)	\$9,000_	\$ 7,200				
	e.	Percentage of time each parent will have physical custody	%	%				
	f.	Percentage of time <u>other</u> parent will have physical custody	%					
	g.	Multiply line (d) support amount times line (f).	\$ 6,300	\$ 2,160				
	h.	Subtract smaller figure on line (g) from larger and write the difference in the column with the larger line (g) figure. (One line should be blank.)	\$ 4,140	\$				
	i.	Multiply line (h) by 1.5. (One line should be blank.)	\$ 6,210	\$				
	j.	Annual Child Support. Fill in the smaller of line (i) or line (d)	\$6,210	\$				
		To be paid by	+ <u></u>	·				
7.	Co	ombine above results to determine net obligation.						
	a.	Total amount Father owes (from 4(d) and 6(j) if app	\$ <u>15,210</u>					
	b.	Total amount Mother owes (from 5(d) and 6(j) if ap	\$ <u> </u>					
	c.	Net support obligation (difference between (a) and	(b)):	\$ <u>15,210</u>				
		To be paid by						
8.	Mo	onthly Payment.						
	a.	If equal payments will be made each month, divide	\$ <u>1,267.50</u>					
	b.	If payments will not be made in some months (see Civil Rule 90.3(b)(1)(D)) or if different amounts will be paid in different months, list the amounts to be paid in each month:						

Page 2 of 3 DR-308 (4/05) (cs) HYBRID CUSTODY CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION

Civil Rule 90.3(b)(3) & (f)(4)

Write the paragraph 8 information on either form DR-305, page 2, section F.4. or form DR-105, page 9, section VI.A.3.d.

Peter M. Parker	Abigail N. Parker
Father's Signature	Mother's Signature
Peter M. Parker	Abigail N. Parker
Type or Print Name	Type or Print Name

#### Rule 90.3. Child Support Awards.

- (a) **Guidelines -- Primary Physical Custody.** A child support award in a case in which one parent is awarded primary physical custody as defined by paragraph (f) will be calculated as an amount equal to the adjusted annual income of the non-custodial parent multiplied by a percentage specified in subparagraph (a)(2).
  - (1) Adjusted annual income as used in this rule means the parent's total income from all sources minus:
    - (A) mandatory deductions such as:
      - (i) federal, state, and local income tax,
      - (ii) Social Security tax or the equivalent contribution to an alternate plan established by a public employer, and self-employment tax,
      - (iii) Medicare tax,
      - (iv) mandatory union dues,
      - (v) mandatory contributions to a retirement or pension plan;
    - (B) voluntary contributions to a retirement or pension plan or account in which the earnings are tax-free or tax-deferred, except that the total amount of these voluntary contributions plus any mandatory contributions under item (a)(1)(A)(v) above may not exceed 7.5% of the parent's gross wages and self-employment income;
    - (C) child support and alimony payments arising from prior relationships which are required by other court or administrative proceedings and actually paid;
    - (D) child support for children from prior relationships living with the parent, calculated by using the formula provided by this rule; and
    - (E) work-related child care expenses for the children who are the subject of the child support order.
  - (2) The percentage by which the non-custodial parent's adjusted income must be multiplied in order to calculate the child support award is:
    - (A) 20% (.20) for one child;
    - (B) 27% (.27) for two children;
    - (C) 33% (.33) for three children; and
    - (D) an extra 3% (.03) for each additional child.
  - (3) The court may allow the obligor parent to reduce child support payments by up to 75% for any period in which the obligor parent has extended visitation of over 27 consecutive days. The order must specify the amount of the reduction which is allowable if the extended visitation is exercised.
  - (4) Potential Income. The court may calculate child support based on a determination of the potential income of a parent who voluntarily and unreasonably is unemployed or underemployed. A determination of potential income may not be made for a parent

who is physically or mentally incapacitated, or who is caring for a child under two years of age to whom the parents owe a joint legal responsibility. Potential income will be based upon the parent's work history, qualifications, and job opportunities. The court also may impute potential income for non-income or low income producing assets.

## (b) Shared, Divided, and Hybrid Physical Custody.

- (1) Shared Physical Custody. A child support award in a case in which the parents are awarded shared physical custody as defined by paragraph (f) will be calculated by:
  - (A) Calculating the annual amount each parent would pay to the other parent under paragraph (a) assuming the other parent had primary custody. In this calculation the income limit in subparagraph (c)(2) and the minimum support amount in subparagraph (c)(3) apply.
  - (B) Multiplying this amount for each parent by the percentage of time the other parent will have physical custody of the children. However, if the court finds that the percentage of time each parent will have physical custody will not accurately reflect the ratio of funds each parent will directly spend on supporting the children, the court shall vary this percentage to reflect its findings.
  - (C) The parent with the larger figure calculated in the preceding subparagraph is the obligor parent and the annual award is equal to the difference between the two figures multiplied by 1.5. However, if this figure is higher than the amount of support which would be calculated under paragraph (a) assuming primary custody, the annual support is the amount calculated under paragraph (a).
  - (D) The child support award is to be paid in 12 equal monthly installments except as follows:
    - (i) if shared custody is based on the obligor parent having physical custody for periods of 30 consecutive days or more, the total annual award may be paid in equal installments over those months in which the obligor parent does not have physical custody; or
    - (ii) if the obligor parent's income is seasonal, the court may order unequal monthly support payments as provided in subparagraph (c)(5).
  - (E) The child support order must state that failure to exercise sufficient physical custody to qualify for shared physical custody under this rule is grounds for modification of the child support order. Denial of visitation by the custodial parent is not cause to increase child support.
- (2) Divided Physical Custody. A child support award in a case in which the parents have divided custody is calculated, first, by determining what each parent would owe the other for children in that parent's primary physical custody under paragraph (a), taking into account the income limit in subparagraph (c)(2) and the minimum support amount in subparagraph (c)(3), and offsetting those amounts. Second, because divided custody is an "unusual circumstance," the court must consider whether this support amount should be varied under subparagraph (c)(1).
- (3) Hybrid Physical Custody. A child support award in a case in which the parents have hybrid custody is calculated by applying paragraph (a), taking into account the income limit in subparagraph (c)(2) and the minimum support amount in

subparagraph (c)(3), to determine support for children in the primary physical custody of each parent and applying subparagraph (b)(1) to determine support for children in the shared physical custody of the parents. In these calculations, the subparagraph (a)(2) percentages must be adjusted pro rata based on the number of children in each type of custody. These results are then combined to determine the net obligation. Finally, because hybrid custody is an "unusual circumstance," the court must consider whether this support amount should be varied under subparagraph (c)(1).

## (c) **Exceptions.**

- (1) The court may vary the child support award as calculated under the other provisions of this rule for good cause upon proof by clear and convincing evidence that manifest injustice would result if the support award were not varied. The court must specify in writing the reason for the variation, the amount of support which would have been required but for the variation, and the estimated value of any property conveyed instead of support calculated under the other provisions of this rule. Good cause may include a finding that unusual circumstances exist which require variation of the award in order to award an amount of support which is just and proper for the parties to contribute toward the nurture and education of their children. The court shall consider the custodial parent's income in this determination.
- Paragraph (a) does not apply to the extent that the parent has an adjusted annual income of over \$100,000. In such a case, the court may make an additional award only if it is just and proper, taking into account the needs of the children, the standard of living of the children and the extent to which that standard should reflect the supporting parent's ability to pay.
- (3) The minimum child support amount that may be ordered is \$50 per month (\$600 per year) except as provided in subparagraph (a)(3) and paragraph (b).
- (4) In addition to ordering a parent to pay child support as calculated under this rule, the court may, in appropriate circumstances, order one or more grandparents of a child to pay child support to an appropriate person in an amount determined by the court to serve the best interests of the child. However, the amount may not exceed the smaller of (A) a proportionate share of the amount required to provide care in a supervised setting to the grandchild, as determined by the court, or (B) the amount that would have been awarded if the child's parents had the incomes of the child's grandparents and paragraphs (a) and (b) were applied. An order under this paragraph may be issued only with respect to a child whose parents are both minors, and the order terminates when either parent becomes 18 years of age. The court must specify in writing the reasons why it considers it to be appropriate to order a grandparent to pay child support under this paragraph and the factors considered in setting the amount of the child support award. In this paragraph, "grandparent" means the natural or adoptive parent of the minor parent.
- (5) If the non-custodial parent's income is seasonal, the court may order that the annual support amount be paid in unequal monthly payments, with higher payments during the months the parent expects to receive higher income and lower payments in other months. The court should not make such an order unless (a) it finds that the burden of budgeting for periods of unequal income should be placed on the obligee rather than the obligor and (b) the obligee agrees. The court's order must specify the annual support amount, the average monthly support amount, and the amount due month by month. The order must provide that variations from the average monthly amount begin with monthly payments in excess of the average monthly amount such that a deficit situation cannot occur.

Until the excess payments begin, the average monthly amount must be ordered.

## (d) **Health Care Coverage.**

- Health Insurance. The court shall address coverage of the children's health care (1) needs and require health insurance for the children if insurance is available to either parent at a reasonable cost. The court shall consider whether the children are eligible for services through the Indian Health Service (or any other entity) or other insurance coverage before ordering the obligor to provide health care coverage through insurance or other means. The court shall allocate equally the cost of this insurance between the parties unless the court orders otherwise for good cause. An obligor's child support obligation will be decreased by the amount of the obligee's portion of health insurance payments ordered by the court and actually paid by the obligor. A child support award will be increased by the obligor's portion of health insurance if the obligee is ordered to, and actually does obtain and pay for insurance. The cost of insurance is the cost attributable to the children for whom support is paid. If the cost to the employee of covering the employee alone is the same as the cost to the employee of covering the employee and dependents, then there is no additional cost to the employee for adding the children and no portion of the cost of coverage may be allocated to the children. If dependent coverage can be added for a single cost, rather than per dependent, and the dependent coverage covers dependents in addition to the children subject to the order, the cost of the dependent coverage will be allocated equally among the dependents covered. If there is reason to believe that there is an incremental cost to the employee for insuring dependents but evidence of that incremental cost is unavailable, the cost of insurance is determined by dividing the total cost of coverage by the number of family members covered and multiplying that amount by the number of children subject to the order.
- (2) Uncovered Health Care Expenses. The court shall allocate equally between the parties the cost of reasonable health care expenses not covered by insurance unless the court orders otherwise for good cause. A party shall reimburse the other party for his or her share of the uncovered expenses within 30 days of receipt of the bill for the health care, payment verification, and, if applicable, a health insurance statement indicating what portion of the cost is uncovered. Reasonable, uncovered expenses exceeding \$5,000 in a calendar year will be allocated based on the parties' relative financial circumstances when the expenses occur.

## (e) Child Support Affidavit and Documentation.

Subject to the confidentiality requirements of Civil Rule 90.1(f), each parent in a (1) court proceeding at which child support is involved must file a statement under oath which states the parent's adjusted annual income and the components of this income as provided in subparagraph (a)(1). This statement must be filed with a party's initial pleading (such as the dissolution petition, divorce complaint or answer, etc.), motion to modify, and any response to a motion to modify. The statement must be accompanied by documentation verifying the income. The documents must be redacted to delete social security numbers and to provide only partial financial account information as provided in Civil Rule 90.1(f). The statement must state whether the parent has access to health insurance for the children and, if so, the additional cost to the parent of the children's health insurance. For any infraction of these rules, the court may withhold or assess costs or attorney's fees as the circumstances of the case and discouragement of like conduct in the future may require; and such costs and attorney's fees may be imposed upon offending attorneys or parties.

- (2) While there is an ongoing monthly support obligation, either party must provide to the other party, within 30 days of a written request, documents such as tax returns and pay stubs showing the party's income for the prior calendar year. The party making the request must provide documentation of his or her annual income for the same period at the time the request is made. A request under this section may not be made more than once per year. This section does not preclude discovery under other civil rules.
- (3) Unless the information has already been provided to the court under Civil Rule 90.1(f), a statement under subsection (e)(1) of this rule must be accompanied by a confidential information sheet as described in Civil Rule 90.1(f). Once a complete confidential information sheet has been submitted to the court listing names, dates of birth, and social security numbers as required, the parties shall omit or redact social security numbers from documents subsequently filed in the action unless otherwise ordered by the court. This paragraph applies to documents filed with the court on or after October 15, 2006.

#### (f) **Definitions.**

- (1) Shared Physical Custody. A parent has shared physical custody (or shared custody) of children for purposes of this rule if the children reside with that parent for a period specified in writing in the custody order of at least 30, but no more than 70, percent of the year, regardless of the status of legal custody.
- (2) *Primary Physical Custody*. A parent has primary physical custody (or primary custody) of children for purposes of this rule if the children reside with the other parent for a period specified in the custody order of less than 30 percent of the year.
- (3) Divided Custody. Parents have divided custody under this rule if one parent has primary physical custody of one or more children of the relationship and the other parent has primary custody of one or more other children of the relationship, and the parents do not share physical custody of any of their children.
- (4) *Hybrid Custody*. Parents have hybrid custody under this rule if at least one parent has primary physical custody of one or more children of the relationship, and the parents have shared physical custody of at least one child of the relationship.
- (5) *Health Care Expenses*. Health care expenses include medical, dental, vision, and mental health counseling expenses.
- (g) **Travel Expenses.** After determining an award of child support under this rule, the court shall allocate reasonable travel expenses which are necessary to exercise visitation between the parties as may be just and proper for them to contribute.

#### (h) **Modification.**

- (1) Material Change of Circumstances. A final child support award may be modified upon a showing of a material change of circumstances as provided by state law. A material change of circumstances will be presumed if support as calculated under this rule is more than 15 percent greater or less than the outstanding support order. For purposes of this paragraph, support includes health insurance payments made pursuant to (d)(1) of this rule.
- (2) No Retroactive Modification. Child support arrearage may not be modified retroactively, except as allowed by AS 25.27.166(d). A modification which is effective on or after the date that a motion for modification, or a notice of petition for modification by the Child Support Services Division, is served on the opposing party is not considered a retroactive modification.

(3) *Preclusion.* The court may find that a parent and a parent's assignee are precluded from collecting arrearages for support of a child that accumulated during a time period exceeding nine months for which the parent agreed or acquiesced to the obligor exercising primary custody of the child. A finding that preclusion is a defense must be based on clear and convincing evidence.

## (i) Third Party Custody.

- (1) When the state, or another third party entitled to child support, has custody of all children of a parent, the parent's support obligation to the third party is an amount equal to the adjusted annual income of the parent multiplied by the percentage specified in subparagraph (a)(2). If the third party has custody of some but not all children, the parent's support obligation to the third party is an amount equal to the adjusted annual income of the parent, multiplied by the percentage specified in subparagraph (a)(2) for the total number of the parent's children, multiplied by the number of the parent's children in third party custody, divided by the total number of the parent's children. For purposes of this paragraph, the number\_of the a parent's children only includes children of the parent who live with the parent, are substantially supported by the parent or who are in custody of the third party entitled to support.
- (2) If, in addition to a support obligation to a third party, one or both parents retain primary or shared physical custody of at least one of their children, the support obligation between the parents is calculated pursuant to the other paragraphs of this rule, without consideration of the third party custodian or any children in the custody of the third party custodian, except that the percentage in 90.3(a)(2) must be adjusted pro rata for the number of children in the primary custody of a parent, or shared custody of the parents, compared to the total number of children. After that calculation is completed, any support owed may be offset with support owed to a third party custodian under the preceding subparagraph in order to\_minimize transactions.
- (j) **Support Order Forms.** All orders for payment or modification of child support shall be entered on a form developed by the administrative director. A party may lodge a duplicate of the court form produced by a laser printer or similar device. A device may also print, in a contrasting typestyle equivalent to that produced by a typewriter, text that otherwise would have been entered by a typewriter or word processor. A party or attorney who lodges a duplicate certifies by lodging the duplicate that it is identical to the current version of the court form.
- (k) **Dependent Tax Deduction.** The court may allocate the dependent tax deduction for each child between the parties as is just and proper and in the child's best interests. The allocation must be consistent with AS 25.24.152 and federal law.

NOTE: This rule was adopted under the supreme court's interpretive authority pursuant to Article IV, Section I of the Alaska Constitution. Thus, it may be superseded by legislation even if the legislation does not meet the procedural requirements for changing rules promulgated under Article IV, Section 15.

[This means the legislature can change this rule by a simple majority vote rather than a 2/3 majority vote.]

The attached commentary to Civil Rule 90.3 which was prepared by the Child Support Guidelines Committee is published in the Rules of Court immediately following Civil Rule 90.3. The commentary has not been adopted or approved by the Supreme Court, but is published for informational purposes and to assist users of Rule 90.3.

#### CIVIL RULE 90.3 COMMENTARY

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- A. **Committee Commentary.** This commentary to Civil Rule 90.3 was prepared by the Child Support Guidelines Committee. The commentary has not been adopted or approved by the Supreme Court, but is published by the court for informational purposes and to assist users of Rule 90.3.
- B. **Purpose.** The primary purpose of Rule 90.3 is to ensure that child support orders are adequate to meet the needs of children, subject to the ability of parents to pay.

The second purpose of 90.3 is to promote consistent child support awards among families with similar circumstances. Third, the rule is intended to simplify and make more predictable the process of determining child support, both for the courts and the parties. Predictable and consistent child support awards will encourage the parties to settle disputes amicably and, if resolution by the court is required, will make this process simpler and less expensive.

The final purpose of 90.3 is to ensure that Alaska courts comply with state and federal law. AS 25.24.160(a)(1) requires that child support be set in an amount which is "just and proper...." The Child Support Enforcement Amendments of 1984 (P.L. 98-378) and its implementing regulations (45 CFR 302.56) require states to adopt statewide guidelines for establishing child support. The Family Support Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-485) requires that the guidelines presumptively apply to all child support awards and that the guidelines be reviewed every four years.

The Nature of Child Support. Child support is the contribution to a child's maintenance required of both parents. The amount of support a child is entitled to receive from a particular parent is determined by that parent's ability to provide for the child.

C. **Scope of Application.** Rule 90.3 applies to all proceedings involving child support, whether temporary or permanent, contested or non-contested, including without limitation actions involving separation, divorce, dissolution, support modification, domestic violence, paternity, Child in Need of Aid and Delinquency. The support guidelines in the rule may be varied only as provided by paragraph (c) of the rule. Rule 90.3 applies to support of children aged 18 authorized by Chapter 117, SLA 1992, but otherwise does not apply to set support which may be required for adult children.

#### II. PERCENTAGE OF INCOME APPROACH

Rule 90.3 employs the percentage of income approach. This approach is based on economic analyses which show the proportion of income parents devote to their children in intact families is relatively constant across income levels up to a certain upper limit. Applications of the rule should result in a non-custodial parent paying approximately what the parent would have spent on the children if the family was intact.

Integral to the rule is the expectation that the custodial parent will contribute at least the same percentage of income to support the children. The rule operates on the principle that as the income available to both parents increases, the amount available to support the children also will increase. Thus, at least in the primary custodial situation, the contribution of one parent does not affect the obligation of the other parent.

### III. DEFINING INCOME

- A. **Generally.** The first step in determination of child support is calculating a "parent's total income from all sources." Rule 90.3(a)(1). This phrase should be interpreted broadly to include benefits which would have been available for support if the family had remained intact. Income includes, but is not limited to:
  - 1. salaries and wages (including overtime and tips);
  - 2. commissions:
  - 3. severance pay;
  - 4. royalties;
  - 5. bonuses and profit sharing;
  - 6. interest and dividends, including permanent fund dividends;
  - 7. income derived from self-employment and from businesses or partnerships;
  - 8. social security;
  - 9. veterans benefits:
  - 10. insurance benefits in place of earned income such as workers' compensation or periodic disability payments;
  - 11. workers' compensation;
  - 12. unemployment compensation;
  - 13. pensions;
  - 14. annuities;
  - 15. income from trusts;
  - 16. capital gains in real and personal property transactions to the extent that they represent a regular source of income;
  - 17. spousal support received from a person not a party to the order;
  - 18. contractual agreements;
  - 19. perquisites or in-kind compensation to the extent that they are significant and reduce living expenses, including but not limited to employer provided housing and transportation benefits (but excluding employer provided health insurance benefits);
  - 20. income from life insurance or endowment contracts;
  - 21. income from interest in an estate (direct or through a trust);
  - 22. lottery or gambling winnings received either in a lump sum or an annuity;
  - 23. prizes and awards;
  - 24. net rental income;
  - 25. disability benefits;
  - 26. Veteran Administration benefits;
  - 27. G.I. benefits (excluding education allotments);
  - 28. National Guard and Reserves drill pay; and
  - 29. Armed Service Members base pay plus the obligor's allowances for quarters, rations, COLA and specialty pay.

Lump sum withdrawals from pension or profit sharing plans or other funds will not be counted as income to the extent that the proceeds have already been counted as income for the purposes of calculating child support under this rule (i.e., contributions to a voluntary pension plan).

Means based sources of income such as Alaska Temporary Assistance Program (ATAP), formerly Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), Food Stamps and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) should not be considered as income. The principal amount of one-time gifts and inheritances should not be considered as income, but interest from the principal amount should be considered as income and the principal amount may be considered as to whether unusual circumstances exist as provided by 90.3(c). Tax deferred dividends and interest earned on pension or retirement accounts, including individual retirement accounts, which are not distributed to the parent are not income. Child support is not income.

- B. **Self Employment Income.** Income from self-employment, rent, royalties, or joint ownership of a partnership or closely held corporation includes the gross receipts minus the ordinary and necessary expenses required to produce the income. Ordinary and necessary expenses do not include amounts allowable by the IRS for the accelerated component of depreciation expenses, investment tax credits, or any other business expenses determined by the court to be inappropriate. Expense reimbursements and in-kind payments such as use of a company car, free housing or reimbursed meals should be included as income if the amount is significant and reduces living expenses.
- C. **Potential Income.** The court may calculate child support based on a determination of the potential income of a parent who voluntarily and unreasonably is unemployed or underemployed. A determination of potential income may not be made for a parent who is physically or mentally incapacitated, or who is caring for a child under two years of age to whom the parents owe a joint legal responsibility. Potential income will be based upon the parent's work history, qualifications and job opportunities. The court shall consider the totality of the circumstances in deciding whether to impute income. When a parent makes a career change, this consideration should include the extent to which the children will ultimately benefit from the change. The court also may impute potential income for non-income or low income producing assets.
- D. **Deductions.** A very limited number of expenses may be deducted from income. Mandatory deductions such as taxes and mandatory union dues are allowable.

Mandatory retirement contributions are a deduction. Voluntary contributions, up to the limit stated in the rule, are also a deduction if the earnings on the retirement account or plan are tax-free or tax-deferred. If a parent is *not* a participant in a mandatory plan, the limit on voluntary contributions is 7.5 % of gross wages and self-employment income. If a parent is a participant in a mandatory plan, the limit on voluntary contributions is 7.5 % of gross wages and self-employment income minus the amount of the mandatory contribution. Some examples of plans and accounts that qualify for the voluntary contribution are: those qualified under the Internal Revenue Code, 26 USC §§ 401, 403, 408 or 457 (such as a traditional IRA, Roth IRA, SEP-IRA, SIMPLE IRA, Keogh Plan, 401(k) Plan, etc.); Thrift Savings Plans under 5 USC § 8440, 37 USC § 211, etc.; and any other pension plan as defined by § 3 (2) of ERISA (P.L. 93-406; 29 USC § 1002(2)).

Child support and alimony payments paid to another person arising out of different cases are deductible if three conditions are met. First, the child support or alimony actually must be paid. Second, it must be required by a court or administrative

order. (Support which is paid voluntarily without a court or administrative order may be considered under Rule 90.3(c).) Third, it must relate to a prior relationship. A child support order for children of a second marriage should take into account an order to pay support to children of a first marriage, but not vice-versa. But see commentary VI.B.2.

A deduction also is allowed for the support of the children of prior relationships even if the party is the custodial parent of the "prior" children and does not make child support payments to the other parent of the children. In this situation support provided directly to the children is calculated by Rule 90.3 as if the children from the prior relationship were the only children.

Also, reasonable child care expenses that are necessary to enable a parent to work, or to be enrolled in an educational program which will improve employment opportunities, are deductible. However, the expense must be for the children who are the subject of the support order.

E. **Time Period for Calculating Income.** Child support is calculated as a certain percentage of the income which will be earned when the support is to be paid. This determination will necessarily be somewhat speculative because the relevant income figure is expected future income. The court must examine all available evidence to make the best possible calculation.

The determination of future income may be especially difficult when the obligor has had very erratic income in the past. In such a situation, the court may choose to average the obligor's past income over several years.

Despite the difficulty in estimating future income, a child support order should award a specific amount of support, rather than a percentage of whatever future income might be. The latter approach has been rejected because of enforcement and oversight difficulties.

# IV. PRIMARY CUSTODY

A. **Generally.** "Primary custody" as this term is used in Rule 90.3 covers the usual custodial situation in which one parent will have physical custody of the child — in other words, the child will be living with that parent — for over seventy percent of the year. The shared custody calculation in paragraph (b)(1) applies only if the other parent will have physical custody of the child at least thirty percent of the year (110 overnights per year). The visitation schedule must be specified in the decree or in the agreement of the parties which has been ratified by the court. **See also** commentary V.A.

The calculation of child support for the primary custodial case under 90.3(a) simply involves multiplying the obligor's adjusted income times the relevant percentage given in subparagraph (a)(2). (Normally, the portion of an adjusted annual income over \$100,000 per year will not be counted. **See** Commentary VI.D.) As discussed above, the rule assumes that the custodial parent also will support the children with at least the same percentage of his or her income.

B. **Visitation Credit.** An obligor who exercises extended visitation, even if the visitation does not reach the thirty percent level of shared custody, probably will spend significant funds directly for the children during visitation. The parent with primary custody conversely will have somewhat lower expenses during the extended visitation even though that parent's fixed costs such as housing will not decrease. Consequently, 90.3(a)(3) authorizes the trial court, in its discretion, to allow a partial credit (up to 75% of total support for the period of extended

visitation) against a child support obligation. In considering a visitation credit, the court may consider the financial consequences to the parties of the visitation arrangement and a credit. The court shall insure that support for the child, including contributions from both parents, is adequate to meet the child's needs while the child resides with the custodial parent. A visitation credit may be taken only if the extended visitation actually exercised exceeds 27 consecutive days and the court has authorized the specific amount of the credit. Nominal time with the custodial parent during the visitation period, including occasional overnights, does not defeat the visitation credit.

# V. SHARED, DIVIDED, AND HYBRID PHYSICAL CUSTODY

A. **Shared Custody - Generally.** "Shared custody" as this term is used in Rule 90.3 means that each parent has physical custody of the child at least thirty percent of the year according to a specified visitation schedule in the decree. "Shared custody" as used in 90.3 has no relation to whether a court has awarded sole or joint legal custody. "Shared custody" is solely dependent on the time that the decree or agreement of the parties which has been ratified by the court specifies the children will spend with each parent.

In order for a day of visitation to count towards the required thirty percent, the children normally must remain overnight with that parent. (Thirty percent of the overnights in a year total 110 overnights.) Thus, a day or an evening of visitation by itself will not count towards the total of time necessary for shared custody. Visitation from Saturday morning until Sunday evening would count as one overnight. However, the court may use another method of calculating the percentages of custody when counting overnights does not accurately reflect the ratio of expenditures by the parents.

B. Calculation of Shared Custody Support. The calculation of support in shared custody cases is based on two premises. First, the fact that the obligor is spending a substantial amount of the time with the children probably means the obligor also is paying directly for a substantial amount of the expenses of the children. Thus, the first step in calculating shared custody support is to calculate reciprocal support amounts for the time each parent will have custody based on the income of the other parent. The "high income" limit of paragraph (c)(2) (\$100,000) applies to the determination of adjusted income at the first stage of this process. A parent's annual support amount for purposes of this calculation will be no less than \$600. The support amounts then are offset.

This calculation assumes that the parents are sharing expenses in roughly the same proportion as they are sharing custody. If this assumption is not true, the court should make an appropriate adjustment in the calculation.

The second premise is that the total funds necessary to support children will be substantially greater when custody is shared. For example, each parent will have to provide housing for the children. Thus, the amount calculated in the first step is increased by 50% to reflect these increased shared custody costs. However, the obligor's support obligation never will exceed the amount which would be calculated for primary custody under 90.3(a). The amount which would be calculated under 90.3(a) should include any appropriate visitation credit as provided by (a)(3).

C. **Failure to Exercise Shared Custody.** An inequity may arise under the shared custody calculation of support if the obligor does not actually exercise the custody necessary to make shared custody applicable (i.e., at least 30% of the time). If the obligor parent does not actually exercise sufficient physical custody to qualify for

the shared custody calculation in the rule (at least 110 overnights per year — See Commentary, Section V.A), then (a)(2) of this rule will apply to the child support calculation. Failure to exercise custody in this regard is grounds for modification of support, even if the custody order is not modified. However, this provision may not be interpreted to allow the custodial parent to profit by denying visitation.

D. **Divided Custody**. Rule 90.3(f)(3) defines divided custody as when both parents have primary physical custody of at least one of the parent's children and the parents do not share custody of any of their children. The calculation of support for divided custody is a two-part process.

The first step is to offset the amounts of support each parent would pay the other for the children in that parent's primary custody calculated under 90.3(a). For example, if the father has primary custody of one child and the mother primary custody of three children (four children total), the father would owe support to the mother of 33% (three children) of his adjusted annual income. This amount would be offset by 20% (one child) of the mother's adjusted annual income. This method was implicitly approved in *Bunn v. House*, 934 P.2d 753, 755-58 (Alaska 1997). Note that this method of calculation supercedes the method used in *Rowen v. Rowen*, 963 P.2d 249, 254 (Alaska 1998).

The second step in determining divided custody support is for the court to carefully consider whether the support amount should be varied under paragraph (c)(1). A divided custody case should be treated as an unusual circumstance under which support will be varied if such a variation is "just and proper..."

E. **Hybrid Custody**. Rule 90.3(f)(4) defines hybrid custody as when at least one parent has primary physical custody of at least one child of the relationship, and the parents share physical custody of at least one child of the relationship.

The method for calculating child support in a hybrid custody situation in Rule 90.3(b)(3) comes from *Turinsky v. Long*, 910 P.2d 590, 596-97 n.13 (Alaska 1996).

Step One. Determine the percentage of income to use in both the "primary" and "shared" calculations as follows: divide the Rule 90.3(a)(2) percentage for the total number of children by the total number of children to determine a per-child percentage. For example, if there are four children, divide 36% by 4 to get a per child percent of 9%.

Step Two. Use Rule 90.3(a) to calculate the amount each parent owes for any children in the primary physical custody of the other parent. However, instead of using the percentages in (a)(2), use the per-child percent from Step One multiplied by the number of children in the other parent's primary physical custody. For example, if two of the four children are in the mother's primary physical custody, the father would owe 18% (2 x 9%) of his adjusted annual income for the support of those children.

Step Three. Use Rule 90.3(b) to determine the amount owed for the children in shared physical custody and which parent owes it. Use the per-child percentage from Step One multiplied by the number of children in shared physical custody instead of the percentage in (a)(2). For example, if two of the four children are in shared physical custody, each parent's adjusted annual income will be multiplied by 18% (2 x 9%).

Step Four. Add the amounts calculated in Steps Two and Three if they are owed by the same parent. Offset the amounts calculated in Steps Two and Three if they

are owed by different parents. The result is the total amount owed each year. Step Five. Consider whether the support amount should be varied under paragraph (c)(1) of the rule. Hybrid custody is an unusual circumstance in which support must be varied if such a variation is "just and proper."

Sample hybrid custody calculation: In the following sample calculation, there are four children in the family. Mother has primary custody of two, and the parents share custody of the other two. The shared two children will be in mother's physical custody 70 percent of the time and in father's 30 percent of the time. Father's adjusted annual income is \$50,000. Mother's is \$40,000. Step One. The percentage of income from (a)(2) of the rule for four children is 36 percent. Therefore, the percentage per child is 9 percent [36 divided by 4]. Step Two. Father owes mother  $\frac{$9000}{}$  per year for the two children in mother's primary custody [\$50,000 x 18%]. Step Three. Father owes mother  $\frac{$6210}{}$  per year for the two children in shared custody [(father owes \$50,000 x 18% = \$9000 x 70% = \$6300) – (mother owes \$40,000 x 18% = \$7200 x 30% = \$2160). \$6300 – \$2160 = \$4140 x 1.5 = \$6210]. Step Four. Thus, for all four children, father owes mother  $\frac{$15,210}{}$  [\$9000 + \$6210].

#### VI. EXCEPTIONS

A. **Generally.** Child support in the great majority of cases should be awarded under 90.3(a) or (b) in order to promote consistency and to avoid a tendency to underestimate the needs of the children. Nevertheless, the circumstances in which support issues arise may authorize courts to vary support awards for good cause.

The court may apply this good cause exception only if the parent requesting that support be varied presents clear and convincing evidence that manifest injustice would result if the support award were not varied. In addition, a prerequisite of any variation under 90.3(c) is that the reasons for it must be specified in writing by the court.

What constitutes "good cause" will depend on the circumstances of each cause. Three situations constituting "good cause" are discussed below in sections VI.B-D. These three specific exceptions are not exclusive; however, the general exception for good cause may not be interpreted to replace the specific exceptions. Absent the (c)(1) exception (unusual circumstances), the (c)(2) exception (high income), or the (c)(3) exception (low income), the rule presumes that support calculated under (c)(3) or (c)(3) does not result in manifest injustice.

- B. Unusual Circumstances. 90.3(c)(1) provides that a court shall vary support if it finds, first, that unusual circumstances exist and, second, that these unusual circumstances make application of the usual formula unjust. Examples might include especially large family size, significant income of a child, health or other extraordinary expenses, or unusually low expenses. This determination should be made considering the custodial parent's income because the percentage of income approach used in Alaska tends to slightly understate support relative to the national average for cases in which the custodial spouse does not earn a significant income. This understatement relative to the national average becomes substantial if the custodial parent has child care expenses. The application of the unusual circumstances exception to particular types of factual situations is considered below.
  - 1. Agreement of the Parents. The fact that the parties, whether or not represented by counsel, agree on an amount of support is not reason in itself to vary the guidelines. The children have an interest in adequate support independent of either parent's interest. Thus, approval of any agreement

which varies the guidelines, whether in a dissolution, by stipulation or otherwise, must be based upon an explanation by the parties of what unusual factual circumstances justify the variation.

2. Subsequent Children. A parent with a support obligation may have other children living with him or her who were born or adopted after the support obligation arose. The existence of such "subsequent" children, even if the obligor has a legal obligation to support these children, will not generally constitute good cause to vary the guidelines. However, the circumstances of a particular case involving subsequent children might constitute unusual circumstances justifying variation of support. The court should reduce child support if the failure to do so would cause substantial hardship to the "subsequent" children.

In addition, the interests of the subsequent family may be taken into account as a defense to a modification action where an obligor proves he or she has taken a second job or otherwise increased his or her income specifically to better provide for a subsequent family. This defense to an upward modification action should not be allowed to the extent that the prior support was set at a lower amount prior to the adoption of this rule, or to the extent that the obligor's increase in income is limited to ordinary salary increases.

In considering whether substantial hardship to "subsequent" children exists, or whether the existence of a subsequent family should defeat a motion to increase child support, the court should consider the income, including the potential income, of both parents of the "subsequent" children.

- 3. Relocation of Custodial Parent. The relocation of the custodial parent to a state with a lower cost of living normally will not justify a reduction in support. The level of Alaska's guidelines is comparable to the national average. The fact that the obligor parent's income has in effect marginally increased relative to the children's living expenses simply enables the children to be supported at a slightly higher level.
- 4. *Prior and Subsequent Debts*. Prior or subsequent debts of the obligor, even if substantial, normally will not justify a reduction in support. The obligation to provide child support is more important than the obligation to fulfill most other obligations. However an obligor parent may attempt to present evidence which shows the existence of exceptional circumstances in an individual case.
- 5. Income of a New Spouse (or other person in the household). The income of a new spouse of either the custodial or obligor parent normally will not justify a variation in support. Either party may attempt to show that exceptional circumstances exist in a particular case. A parent who does not work because of the income of a new spouse (or other person in the household) may be assigned a potential income.
- 6. Age of Children. While the costs of raising children who are very young or who are over about ten years old are generally greater than raising other children, this in itself does not justify an increase in support. However, it should be considered in concert with other circumstances, and a parent always may seek to establish exceptional expenses in a particular case.
- 7. Denial of Visitation. A denial of visitation may not be countered with a reduction in support. See AS 25.27.080(c). Neither may non-payment of support be countered by a denial of visitation. Courts should use their

powers to strictly enforce the visitation and custody rights of obligor parents.

8. Property Settlement. A parent may justify variation of the guidelines by proving that a property settlement in a divorce or dissolution between the parents provided one of the parents with substantially more assets than the parent otherwise would have been entitled to, that this inequity was intended to justify increasing or decreasing child support, and that this intent specifically was stated on the record. Any such change in monthly child support may not exceed the actual excess of the property settlement apportioned over the minority of the child.

However, courts should not approve in the first instance unequal property settlements which are meant to increase or decrease child support payments. "Property divisions are final judgments which can be modified only under limited circumstances, whereas child support awards can be changed periodically under much more liberal standards. One should not be a trade-off for the other." *Arndt v. Arndt*, 777 P.2d 668 (Alaska 1989)

- 9. Overtime Income. In most cases income from overtime or a second job will be counted as adjusted annual income under Rule 90.3(a). However, the court has discretion not to include this income when, for example, the extra work is undertaken to pay off back child support.
- C. **Low Income of Obligor.** Paragraphs (a) and (b) of the rule must be applied even in low-income situations. However, in a paragraph (a) [primary custody] calculation and in the first stage of a paragraph (b) [shared, divided or hybrid custody] calculation, if the calculations result in a support amount below \$50.00 per month, a minimum support amount of \$50.00 per month (\$600 per year) must be set. This \$50.00 minimum support applies for all children, not to each child separately. The minimum level may be reduced if an extended visitation credit is granted under Rule 90.3(a)(3). This minimum support amount does not apply to final support amounts for shared, divided, or hybrid custody entered under Rule 90.3(b).
- D. **High Income of a Parent.** Rule 90.3 provides that the percentages for child support will not be applied to a parent's adjusted annual income of over \$100,000, unless the other parent is able to present evidence which justifies departure from this general rule. The factors which the court should consider in such a determination are specified in the rule.

#### E. Retroactive Establishment.

- 1. Retroactive Establishment of Child Support. It will sometimes be necessary for the court to establish support for a time when no complaint or petition for support had yet\_been served, and there was no other court or administrative order in effect. The court has determined that Civil Rule 90.3 applies to such calculations. Vachon v. Pugliese, 931 P.2d 371, 381-2 (Alaska 1996). However, in some circumstances unfairness may result from rigid application of the rule. The court should consider all relevant factors in such a situation, including whether the obligor was aware of the support obligation, especially if the obligor had children subsequent to that child. See also Commentary VI.B.2.
- 2. Retroactive Application of Amendments. When establishing support for a period of time before a complaint or petition was served, the court should apply the most current version of the rule, except for portions of the rule that state dollar amounts. This is because Civil Rule 90.3, unlike most other court

rules, is interpretive. The most current version of the rule is presumably the most refined interpretation to date of the statute calling for fair and equitable child support awards. For example, the credit for prior children living with the obligor was not found in early versions of the rule, but nonetheless should be applied when support is being established. However, the dollar amounts in the rule, such as the minimum support amount (increased from \$40 to \$50) and the income cap (increased from \$60,000 to \$100,000), have been revised over time to reflect inflation or for other reasons. With regard to these amounts, the court should apply the version of the rule that was in effect in the month for which support is being calculated.

# F. Seasonal Income.

In Alaska, seasonal employment is common. Obligors employed in such seasonal industries as commercial fishing, tourism, and construction often earn a large percentage of their income during only a few months of the year. It might be easier for some seasonally-employed obligors to meet their child support obligations if their child support orders required the bulk of their annual child support amount to be paid during the months they are employed. Thus, the rule allows courts the flexibility of ordering unequal monthly payments, as long as the total annual amount equals the amount calculated in paragraph (a) or (b) of the rule. The court should not make such an order unless it finds that the burden of budgeting for periods of unequal income should be placed on the obligee rather than the obligor.

The court's order must specify the annual support amount, the average monthly support amount, and the amount due for each month. For example, if the annual child support amount is \$3600, the average monthly amount is \$300. Instead of requiring 12 equal monthly payments of \$300, the order could require payments of \$500 per month from April through September and \$100 per month from October through March.

Payments under the order must be set up so that a deficit situation will not occur. This means that, at any point in time, the total amount owed under the order (for the entire period the order has been in effect) must not be less than the amount that would have been owed for that entire period if no seasonal adjustment had been made. Therefore, in the above example, if the order is entered in April through September, it can order \$500 monthly payments for the April – September period, followed by \$100 monthly payments for October – March. However, if the order is entered anytime in October through March, the order must require \$300 payments through March, then \$500 payments from April through September, and then \$100 payments the following October – March.

# VII. HEALTH CARE COVERAGE

#### A. Health Insurance

Rule 90.3(d) requires that the court address coverage of the children's health care needs including expenses not covered by insurance. The court must require health insurance if the insurance is available to either party at a reasonable cost. The health insurance will be paid by the party to whom it is available. However, the court must allocate the cost of insurance between the parties. Note that the cost to be allocated is limited to that portion of the total cost necessary to insure the children involved — not the parent, the parent's new spouse or children of another relationship. If the insurance for the children also covers other members of the purchaser's family, and evidence is unavailable on the specific cost of insuring only the children subject to the order, the cost of covering the children must be determined by allocating the

total cost of coverage pro rata among all covered family members. See *Rusenstrom* v. *Rusenstrom*, 981 P.2d 558 (Alaska 1999). If there is no additional cost to the employee for adding children to the coverage – that is, the cost of coverage is the same whether there are no dependants or several dependants – no portion of the cost of coverage may be allocated to the children. In such cases, no adjustment may be made to the child support obligation because none of the cost of coverage can be allocated to the children.

The allocation of the cost of the children's insurance between the parents should be 50/50 unless the court finds good cause to change that percentage. A substantial difference in the parties' relative financial circumstances may constitute good cause. The rule requires the court to adjust child support either upward or downward to reflect the allocation. Paragraph (h)(1) provides that payments for health care insurance are included in deciding whether there has been a 15% change in support which constitutes a material change of circumstances.

# B. Uncovered Health Care Expense.

Rule 90.3(d)(2) provides that the court also allocate reasonable health expenses not covered by insurance. The rule requires the party who did not obtain the health care to reimburse the other party within 30 days of receiving the necessary paperwork. The paperwork should include the medical bill, payment verification, and, if medical insurance applies, an insurance statement indicating any uncovered health care expenses. These materials should be sent to the other party within a reasonable time. The rule should be read to require prepayment of allowable uncovered medical cost when prepayment is required by the health care provider.

The rule provides that the usual 50/50 presumption does not apply for any amount in excess of \$5,000 per calendar year. In such a situation, the excess expenses should be allocated based on the parties' relative financial circumstances during the approximate time period when the expenses occurred.

# C. **Definition of Health Care Expenses.**

Paragraph (f) defines health care expenses to include medical, dental, vision and mental health counseling expenses.

# VIII. CHILD SUPPORT AFFIDAVIT AND DOCUMENTATION

# A. Affidavit and Documentation.

Each parent in a proceeding involving a determination of child support must provide the court with an income statement under oath. The rule also requires that the income statement of a parent be verified with documentation of current and past income. Suitable documentation of earnings might include paystubs, employer statements, or copies of federal tax returns. The income statement, with documentation, must be filed with the party's first pleading in the action. This first pleading is the dissolution petition in a dissolution, the complaint or answer in a divorce, the custody petition or response in a child custody case under AS 25.20.060, or the motion or opposition in a motion to modify child support or motion to change custody. The court may impose sanctions on a party who does not timely file the income statement with appropriate documentation. The rule repeats language set out in Civil Rule 95(a). In a default case the court must decide support on the best available information, but should require the present party to make reasonable efforts to obtain reasonably accurate information.

Income affidavits must be filed even by a parent whose income is not presently being used to calculate child support. That parent's income may be relevant if there is a request by either parent for a variation under subsection (c), or it may be needed to determine what percentage of uncovered health care expenses each parent will pay under subsection (d)(2) or how much of travel expenses each parent will pay under subsection (g). In addition, the court may wish to enter an order which automatically shifts the child support obligation if a child changes his or her primary residence, as permitted under *Karpuleon v. Karpuleon*, 881 P.2d 318 (Alaska 1994).

# B. Requests for Income Information.

Paragraph (h) of the rule allows child support orders to be modified if a material change of circumstances is shown. A change in a parent's income qualifies as a "material change" if it would increase or decrease the support amount by 15 percent. Paragraph (e)(2) of the rule provides an informal method either parent can use, while a support order is in effect, to learn whether there has been a large enough change in the other parent's income to justify a change in the amount of child support. This paragraph allows a parent to send the other parent a written request for documents such as tax returns and pay stubs showing the other parent's income for the prior calendar year (January through December). However, the parent making this request must attach to the request a copy of the same type of documents showing his or her own income for the prior calendar year. This request can only be made once each year. The parent who receives the request must provide the requested information within 30 days after the request is made. The parents can then do the necessary calculations to determine whether a motion to modify child support should be filed. In addition, a parent may always use the formal discovery procedures provided in the other civil rules to obtain income information from the other parent.

#### IX. TRAVEL EXPENSES

The court shall allocate any travel expenses that are necessary to exercise visitation. This allocation should generally be done on a percentage basis because the actual costs may not be known or may change. The court should take care that its allocation of these expenses does not interfere with a parent's ability to provide the basic necessities for the children.

# X. MODIFICATION

#### A. Material Change in Circumstances.

Alaska law allows the modification of support orders upon a material change in circumstances. A significant amendment to Rule 90.3 constitutes a material change in circumstances pursuant to AS 25.24.170(b). Rule 90.3(h) states that a material change in circumstances will be presumed whenever the change would result in an increase or decrease of support under the rule of at least 15%. However, a support order can provide that the support obligation will be adjusted without further order of the court upon a change of health insurance costs and notice of the change to the other parent (and CSSD if CSSD is handling collections).

See *Flannery v. Flannery*, 950 P.2d 126 (Alaska 1997), concerning what constitutes a material change of circumstances when the parties by agreement originally set support at a level higher than would have normally been required under Rule 90.3.

A temporary reduction in income normally will not justify an ongoing modification reducing child support. However, a temporary, unforeseen, and

involuntary reduction in income may justify a temporary reduction in support subject to the retroactivity provisions in Rule 90.3(h)(2). In considering such a reduction, the court should consider the needs of the children, the ability of the other parent to provide support, liquid assets available to provide support, and the future earning capability of the obligor parent. See *Flannery v. Flannery*, 950 P.2d 126, 133 (Alaska 1997); *Patch v. Patch*, 760 P.2d 526, 530 (Alaska 1988).

Federal law, recognized in AS 25.24.170(b) and AS 25.27.193, appears on its face to require allowing modifications every three years without a showing of a material change in circumstances. See 42 U.S.C. 666(a)(10)(A)(iii). However, in response to questions from states, the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement has stated (in Action Transmittal OCSE-97-10, pages 28-31) that existing regulations which allow reasonable quantitative standards for modifications (such as Alaska's 15% standard) continue to apply.

# **B.** No Retroactive Modification.

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986, P.L. 99-509, Section 9103(a) (the Bradley Amendment), prohibits retroactive modification of child support arrearages. Rule 90.3(h)(2) is intended to restate this prohibition, including the exception allowed by federal law for modification during the pendency of a modification motion. Pursuant to this rule, the notice of petition for modification sent by the Child Support Services Division triggers the legal process for modification of child support awards and thus an increase or decrease of support back to the date of this notice does not constitute retroactive modification.

The prohibition against retroactive modification limits both requested decreases and increases in child support. **See** Prohibition of Retroactive Modification of Child Support Arrearages, 54 Fed. Reg. 15,763 (1989). Thus, either the custodial or the obligor parent should promptly apply for a modification of child support when a material change in circumstances occurs.

See Section VI.B.2 of the commentary as to the extent support of a "subsequent" family may be used as a defense to a modification action to increase child support.

#### C. Preclusion.

The sometimes harsh effect of the rule against retroactive modification may be mitigated by the preclusion provision of Rule 90.3, which limits collection of a support arrearage in limited and appropriate cases. Preclusion may be applied to limit collection by a parent's assignee, such as the child support services agency of this or another state. Clear and convincing evidence is required to support a finding of preclusion.

Preclusion may apply only in cases in which the obligor assumed primary physical custody of a child for the time period for which the obligee now attempts to collect support. The time period must be more than nine months. Preclusion does not apply in cases in which the proportion of shared custody changed or when there is a shift from primary physical custody to shared custody. Preclusion may apply when the obligor assumes primary physical custody of any number of the children on which the support obligation in arrearage is based. *Murphy v. Newlynn*, 34 P.3d 331 (Alaska 2001).

As an alternative to preclusion, AS 25.27.020(b) may allow a reduction of support owed to the other parent when the obligor assumes custody of one or more of the children. See *State v. Gause*, 967 P.2d 599 (Alaska 1998).

# XI. THIRD PARTY CUSTODY

# A. Support Owed to the Third Party

If the state or another third party entitled to child support has custody of all of a parent's children, child support is calculated in the same way as it would be calculated in other cases. In other words, support is equal to the parent's adjusted annual income multiplied by the relevant percentage in paragraph (a)(2) based on the number of children.

However, this basic calculation does not work when the state or other third party has custody of only some of a parent's children. In this case, the rule provides that the total support calculation (as calculated for the total number of the parent's children) be reduced to only the proportion of the parent's children of whom the third party has custody. For example, the third party might have custody of two of a parent's three children. Support would be calculated as the parent's adjusted annual income, multiplied by .33 (the relevant percentage for three children), multiplied by 2/3 (the third party has custody of two of the parent's three children). Note that the calculation only takes into account children which are either in third party custody, substantially supported by the parent or living with the parent. A child of the parent, for example, living with a relative without substantial support would not be counted in the above calculation.

The deduction for prior children in (a)(1) (B) and (C) would not apply because these children are already taken into account as children living with or supported by the parent.

# **B.** Support Owed Between the Parents

There will be instances when a third party is entitled to support for some of the parent's children, but one or both parents retain primary or shared custody of their remaining children. In this case, child support between the parents should be calculated using Rule 90.3 based on the pro rata support percentages for the children not in third party custody. After that calculation, any support owed may be offset with amounts owed under 90.3(i)(1) to minimize transactions.

For example, a father might have custody of two children and the mother's sister might have custody of, and be entitled to support for, the parents' third child. Both parents in this example have a \$45,000 adjusted annual income. Under Rule 90.3(i)(1), the sister is entitled to \$4,950 per year from the father [\$45,000 (annual income) x 33% (percentage for three children) x 1/3 (custodian has one of three children)]. The sister also is entitled to the same amount from the mother. (The parents' incomes are the same and the mother supports the children living with the father.)

The pro rata percentage for each child under 90.3 (a)(2) would be 33% (three children)  $\div$  3 or 11% per child. Under 90.3(i)(2), the mother owes the father \$9,900 per year in support (\$45,000 x 22%). If the support amounts are offset, the mother will owe her sister \$9,900 per year and the father \$4,950 per year. The court could decide, however, that it was preferable not to offset the support amounts because one of the parents might not pay the third party.

# XII. SUPPORT ORDER FORMS

Paragraph (j) was formerly Civil Rule 67(b).

# XIII. DEPENDENT TAX DEDUCTION

*Waggoner v. Foster*, 904 P.2d 1234 (Alaska 1995), provides that tax deductions for the children should be allocated based on the child's best interests. AS 25.24.152 places some limits on giving the deduction to the parent with less physical custody. Federal income tax law also may limit who can take the deduction.

# CHART FOR CHECKING CALCULATIONS IN PRIMARY CUSTODY CASES

(This chart cannot be used in shared custody situations.)

Adjusted
Annual
Income

Annual Income	Number of Children and Monthly Support Amount					
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	
5,000	83.33	112.50	137.50	150.00	162.50	
6,000	100.00	135.00	165.00	180.00	195.00	
7,000	116.66	157.50	192.50	210.00	227.50	
8,000	133.33	180.00	220.00	240.00	260.00	
9,000	150.00	202.50	247.50	270.00	292.50	
10,000	166.66	225.00	275.00	300.00	325.00	
11,000	183.33	247.50	302.50	330.00	357.50	
12,000	200.00	270.00	330.00	360.00	390.00	
13,000	216.66	292.50	357.50	390.00	422.50	
14,000	233.33	315.00	385.00	420.00	455.00	
15,000	250.00	337.50	412.50	450.00	487.50	
16,000	266.66	360.00	440.00	480.00	520.00	
17,000	283.33	382.50	467.50	510.00	552.50	
18,000	300.00	405.00	495.00	540.00	585.00	
19,000	316.66	427.50	522.50	570.00	617.50	
20,000	333.33	450.00	550.00	600.00	650.00	
21,000	350.00	472.50	577.50	630.00	682.50	
22,000	366.66	495.00	605.00	660.00	715.00	
23,000	383.34	517.50	632.50	690.00	747.50	
24,000	400.00	540.00	660.00	720.00	780.00	
25,000	416.66	562.50	687.50	750.00	812.50	
26,000	433.33	585.00	715.00	780.00	845.00	
27,000	450.00	607.50	742.50	810.00	877.50	
28,000	466.66	630.00	770.00	840.00	910.00	
29,000	483.33	652.50	797.50	870.00	942.50	
30,000	500.00	675.00	825.00	900.00	975.00	
31,000	516.66	697.50	852.50	930.00	1,007.50	
32,000	533.33	720.00	880.00	960.00	1,040.00	
33,000	550.00	742.50	907.50	990.00	1,072.50	
34,000	566.66	765.00	935.00	1,020.00	1,105.00	
35,000	583.33	787.50	962.50	1,050.00	1,137.00	
36,000	600.00	810.00	990.00	1,080.00	1,170.00	
37,000	616.66	832.50	1,017.50	1,110.00	1,202.50	
38,000	633.33	855.00	1,045.00	1,140.00	1,235.00	
39,000	650.00	877.50	1,072.50	1,170.00	1,267.50	
40,000	666.66	900.00	1,100.00	1,200.00	1,300.00	
41,000	683.33	922.50	1,127.50	1,230.00	1,332.50	
42,000	700.00	945.00	1,155.00	1,260.00	1,365.00	
43,000	716.66	967.50	1,182.50	1,290.00	1,397.50	
44,000	733.33	990.00	1,210.00	1,320.00	1,430.00	
45,000	750.00	1,012.50	1,237.50	1,350.00	1,462.50	
46,000	766.66	1,035.00	1,265.00	1,380.00	1,495.00	
47,000	783.33	1,057.50	1,292.50	1,410.00	1,527.50	
48,000	800.00	1,080.00	1,320.00	1,440.00	1,560.00	
49,000	816.66	1,102.50	1,347.50	1,470.00	1,592.50	
50,000	833.33	1,125.00	1,375.00	1,500.00	1,625.00	

# CHART FOR CHECKING CALCULATIONS IN PRIMARY CUSTODY CASES (This chart cannot be used in shared custody situations.)

Adjusted Annual <u>Income</u>	Number of Children and Monthly Support Amount						
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	4	<u>5</u>		
51,000	850.00	1,147.50	1,402.50	1,530.00	1,657.50		
52,000	866.66	1,170.00	1,430.00	1,560.00	1,690.00		
53,000	883.33	1,192.50	1,457.50	1,590.00	1,722.50		
54,000	900.00	1,215.00	1,485.00	1,620.00	1,755.00		
55,000	916.66	1,237.50	1,512.50	1,650.00	1,787.50		
56,000	933.33	1,260.00	1,540.00	1,680.00	1,820.00		
57,000	950.00	1,282.50	1,567.50	1,710.00	1,852.50		
58,000	966.66	1,305.00	1,595.00	1,740.00	1,885.00		
59,000	983.33	1,327.50	1,622.50	1,770.00	1,917.50		
60,000	1,000.00	1,350.00	1,650.00	1,800.00	1,950.00		
61,000	1,016.67	1,372.50	1,677.50	1,830.00	1,982.50		
62,000	1,033.33	1,395.00	1,705.00	1,860.00	2,015.00		
63,000	1,050.00	1,417.50	1,732.50	1,890.00	2,047.50		
64,000	1,066.67	1,440.00	1,760.00	1,920.00	2,080.00		
65,000 66,000	1,083.33 1,100.00	1,462.50 1,485.00	1,787.50 1,815.00	1,950.00 1,980.00	2,112.50 2,145.00		
67,000	1,100.00	1,507.50	1,842.50	2,010.00	2,143.00		
68,000	1,133.33	1,530.00	1,870.00	2,040.00	2,210.00		
69,000	1,150.00	1,552.50	1,897.50	2,070.00	2,242.50		
70,000	1,166.67	1,575.00	1,925.00	2,100.00	2,275.00		
71,000	1,183.33	1,597.50	1,952.50	2,130.00	2,307.50		
72,000	1,200.00	1,620.00	1,980.00	2,160.00	2,340.00		
73,000	1,216.67	1,642.50	2,007.50	2,190.00	2,372.50		
74,000	1,233.33	1,665.00	2,035.00	2,220.00	2,405.00		
75,000	1,250.00	1,687.50	2,062.50	2,250.00	2,437.50		
76,000	1,266.67	1,710.00	2,090.00	2,280.00	2,470.00		
77,000	1,283.33	1,732.50	2,117.50	2,310.00	2,502.50		
78,000 70,000	1,300.00	1,755.00	2,145.00 2,172.50	2,340.00	2,535.00		
79,000 80,000	1,316.67 1,333.33	1,777.50 1,800.00	2,172.30 2,200.00	2,370.00 2,400.00	2,567.50 2,600.00		
81,000	1,350.00	1,822.50	2,227.50	2,430.00	2,632.50		
82,000	1,366.67	1,845.00	2,255.00	2,460.00	2,665.00		
83,000	1,383.33	1,867.50	2,282.50	2,490.00	2,697.50		
84,000	1,400.00	1,890.00	2,310.00	2,520.00	2,730.00		
85,000	1,416.67	1,912.50	2,337.50	2,550.00	2,762.50		
86,000	1,433.33	1,935.00	2,365.00	2,580.00	2,795.00		
87,000	1,450.00	1,957.50	2,392.50	2,610.00	2,827.50		
88,000	1,466.67	1,980.00	2,420.00	2,640.00	2,860.00		
89,000	1,483.33	2,002.50	2,447.50	2,670.00	2,892.50		
90,000	1,500.00	2,025.00	2,475.00	2,700.00	2,925.00		
91,000	1,516.67	2,047.50	2,502.50	2,730.00	2,957.50		
92,000		2,070.00	2,530.00	2,760.00	2,990.00		
	1,533.33	,	*		*		
93,000	1,550.00	2,092.50	2,557.50	2,790.00	3,022.50		
94,000	1,566.67	2,115.00	2,585.00	2,820.00	3,055.00		
95,000	1,583.33	2,137.50	2,612.50	2,850.00	3,087.50		
96,000	1,600.00	2,160.00	2,640.00	2,880.00	3,120.00		
97,000	1,616.67	2,182.50	2,667.50	2,910.00	3,152.50		
98,000	1,633.33	2,205.00	2,695.00	2,940.00	3,185.00		
99,000	1,650.00	2,227.50	2,722.50	2,970.00	3,217.50		
100,000	1,666.67	2,250.00	2,750.00	3,000.00	3,250.00		